

TU Graz 2020 Greenhouse Gas Balance

and comparison with the corrected and supplemented 2017 Greenhouse Gas Balance

Final Version

Franziska Häller, Florian Ensbacher, Günter Getzinger

Last updated: 1 May 2024







This final report on TU Graz's 2020 greenhouse gas balance was commissioned by TU Graz (Buildings and Technical Support). It provides an overview of the results in the emission categories of energy, mobility, material use, and canteen. The GHG balance was prepared using the 2020 version of the *ClimCalc* tool: ClimCalc_v3.1.3_EF2020 (Alliance for Sustainable Universities in Austria 2021b).

Client: Organisational Unit 9504.0 - Buildings and Technical Support (GuT) Technical Facility Management

Contractor: STS – Science, Technology and Society Unit / ISDS

Subject of the contract: Preparation of the TU Graz 2020 greenhouse gas balance, taking into account the categories *Energy, Mobility, Material Use,* and *Canteen*, using data collected at the TU Graz main and secondary locations.

Project management (PL)/Project processing (PB): Ass.Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.phil. Günter Getzinger (PL), Franziska Häller, MA (PB), and Florian Ensbacher (PB)

Contract period: March to July 2021, preparation of the preliminary 2020 GHG balance, and October 2023 to May 2024, preparation of the final version of the 2020 GHG balance (reflecting the integration of the 2020 emission factors)

Version: Final version, 01.05.2024

Data provided by:

- TU Graz Organisational Units
 - Assistance to the Rector: Statistics and Data Protection
 - Purchasing Service
 - Finance and Accounting
 - Buildings and Technical Support
 - o Institutes with official vehicles
 - International Office Welcome Center
 - o Communications and Marketing
 - Human Resources
 - Institute of Thermal Turbomachinery and Machine Dynamics
 - TU Graz Verlag [Publishing House]
- External organisations



- Harnisch Gebäudeservice Graz
- o Österreichische Mensen Betriebsgesellschaft mbH
- Printkultur [HTU Copyshops]

Table of Contents

1.	Foreword	5
2.	Descriptions of tools and methods	
	2.1 ClimCalc	
	2.2 Method descriptions and system limits	
3.	GHG balance	11
(3.1 Summary	
:	3.2 Categories	
	3.2.1 Energy	
	3.2.2 Mobility	
	3.2.3 Material use	
	3.2.4 Additional module - Canteen	56
4.	Key figures	61
5.	Final recommendations	
6.	List of figures and tables	
(6.1 List of figures	
(6.2 List of tables	
7.	Bibliography	



1. Foreword

This TU Graz 2020 greenhouse gas balance (GHG balance) is the second complete and accurate TU Graz GHG balance produced after the first 2017 GHG balance. The 2017 GHG balance serves as the reference balance for the "Climate-neutral TU Graz 2030" project (TU Graz 2020), which was accepted by the TU Graz Rectorate in 2020. The year 2020, marked by the coronavirus pandemic, was a unique year in many respects, and this is also reflected in the GHG balance results. Especially in the area of university mobility, major changes occurred in this year as compared to the 2017 balance. The extent to which 2020 can also be considered a unique year with regard to the future, namely as a year that brought about structural changes, will be reflected in the next GHG balance (2023). When interpreting the data from the GHG balance presented here, however, it is important to bear in mind that the university operations were subject to many restrictions due to the pandemic from March up to and including December 2020.

Corrections to the 2017 GHG reference balance

In addition to presenting the 2020 data, this GHG balance report also presents a comparison with the 2017 GHG balance. While preparing this report, certain data points from the 2017 GHG reference balance were corrected; these are now displayed correctly in this report. A list of the adjustments to the 2017 GHG balance is provided below:

Subcategory	Initial 2017	Final 2017	Comment
	value	(corrected)	
		value	
Natural gas	34 tonnes of	343 tonnes of	In the original 2017 GHG
	CO ₂ e	CO ₂ e	balance, the value for m ³ was
			inadvertently entered as kWh
			in the <i>ClimCalc</i> tool (see p. 8)
District heating	4,429 tonnes of	6,220 tonnes of	The emission factor for district
	CO ₂ e	CO ₂ e	heating was increased by the
	(incl. canteen)	(incl. canteen)	Environment Agency Austria
			from 0.2483 kg CO ₂ e/kWh to
			0.3487 kg CO₂e/kWh
Refrigerant	10 tonnes of	30 tonnes of	Calculated total was not
	CO ₂ e	CO ₂ e	correct, more consumption
Copy paper	434 tonnes of	191 tonnes of	The quantity (kg) of copy
	CO ₂ e	CO ₂ e	paper was corrected
			downwards by a factor of 10
			after conducting a trial.
Total emissions	22,200 tonnes	24,077 tonnes	As a result of these
	of CO ₂ e	of CO ₂ e	corrections, emissions
	(incl. canteen,	(incl. canteen,	increased by around 2,000
	excl. new	excl. new	tonnes of CO ₂ e in 2017



buildings/"grey	buildings/"grey	
emissions")	emissions")	

The issue of climate change is still very much present in the public sphere, and solutions are being sought to prevent and deal with the expected catastrophic consequences of global warming. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) advises that global climate neutrality is achieved as soon as possible in order to meet the 1.5-degree target (IPCC 2018, p. 6).

The following graphs provide an overview of how the global temperature has changed since 1880 and illustrate the development of per capita CO₂ emissions since 1800 in some countries, including Austria:



Source: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA); Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) OurWorldInData.org/climate-change • CC BY

Figure 1: Global warming since 1880 (Our World In Data a))



Per capita CO₂ emissions Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from the burning of fossil fuels for energy and cement production. Land use change is not included. 20 t United States 15 t 10 t Germany Austria China 5tWorld India 0 t 1900 1750 1800 1850 1950 2019

Source: Our World in Data based on the Global Carbon Project OurWorldInData.org/co2-and-other-greenhouse-gas-emissions/ • CC BY Note: CO₂ emissions are measured on a production basis, meaning they do not correct for emissions embedded in traded goods.

Figure 2: Comparison of per capita CO₂ emissions (Our World In Data b))

As a member of the Alliance of Sustainable Universities in Austria (in German: Allianz Nachhaltige Universitäten in Österreich) and the Climate Change Centre Austria (CCCA), TU Graz takes its responsibility seriously. In spring 2020, TU Graz set the goal to achieve climate neutrality by 2030. Based on the 2017 GHG balance, a roadmap with measures that would enable it to achieve this goal was created and adopted by the Rectorate in August 2020 (TU Graz 2020). These measures have been fully implemented since December 2021 and continue to be fully implemented (as of May 2024).

The 2020 GHG balance should provide information about the status of progress in 2020, but also should show where additional actions can and must be taken. It also indicates what can be predicted for the future based on data from the forcibly "decelerated" year of 2020, as well as where readjustments need to be made to certain areas of the "Climate-neutral TU Graz 2030" project adopted in August 2020. The findings reported in this 2020 GHG balance also contribute to the biennial evaluations of this project, which began in 2022.



2. Descriptions of tools and methods

2.1 ClimCalc

The 2020 GHG balance was prepared using the *ClimCalc* tool from the *Alliance of Sustainable Universities in Austria*, which has provided customised GHG accounting services for universities since 2015 (Alliance of Sustainable Universities in Austria 2021). *ClimCalc* was developed based on the *Greenhouse Gas Protocol* (WRI and WBCSD 2004), which sets a globally recognised standard in GHG accounting for companies and organisations. This tool can be used to record all three scope categories of GHG emissions: scope 1 emissions, i.e. emissions caused directly by an organisation, scope 2 emissions, i.e. emissions caused indirectly by the generation of purchased electricity, steam, and district heating and cooling services, and scope 3 emissions, i.e. emissions also caused indirectly (upstream and downstream), including those from the *Mobility* and *Material use* categories (Getzinger et al. 2019, Alliance of Sustainable Universities in Austria 2021a). Scope 3 emissions are also attributed to an organisation, because their level can be influenced at least in part by the organisation. The following figure shows the scope categories defined in *ClimCalc*:



Figure 3: Scope categories defined in ClimCalc (Alliance for Sustainable Universities in Austria 2021a)

The emissions shown in the GHG balance are expressed in CO₂e, i.e. CO₂ equivalents. The emission factors used are provided by the Environment Agency Austria. The final emission factors for 2020 have now (as of May 2024) been applied for this purpose.



2.2 Method descriptions and system limits

The 2020 GHG balance is the second complete and accurate TU Graz GHG balance created after the 2017 GHG balance. In order to compare data optimally, the data were collected and are presented in the same categories. The categories defined are based on *ClimCalc* and include *Energy*, *Mobility*, *Material use*, and the additional module *Canteen*. Data were recorded and assigned to the following main and subcategories:



Figure 4: Overview of main and subcategories included in the TU Graz 2020 GHG balance (*F* = *Forschung (DE) / Research (EN); W.E.* = *Wärmeerzeugung (DE) / heat generation (EN)*)

In this GHG balance, data were recorded for all TU Graz primary and secondary locations (see Annex 1).



The total area of TU Graz in 2020 (GuT 2020; cut-off date: 1.10.2020) is:

	with canteen	without canteen
Total net floor area	253,362 m ²	251,586 m ²
Heated net floor area	230,037 m ²	228,261 m ²

Table 1: TU Graz net floor area in 2020

The number of staff and students at TU Graz was obtained from the 2020 Intellectual Capital Report (Wissensbilanz 2020, p. 14). In addition, the number of staff was updated to include the number of staff in shareholdings, data provided by the Organisational Unit *Assistance to the Rector: Statistics and Data Protection.* Shareholding data have been included in the GHG balance if these are closely connected to TU Graz in terms of both location and personnel (see Annex 2).

	According to the	Shareholdings	Total
	2020 Intellectual	2020	
	Capital Report		
Staff			
Individuals (as of 31.12.2020)	3,852.0	935.0	4,787.0
Full-time equivalents (FTE)	2,475.1	716.0	3,191.1
(annual average)			
Students	16,091.0		16,091.0
(cut-off date: 21.12.2020)			

Table 2: Number of staff and students at TU Graz in 2020

Compared to the number in 2017, the number of staff had increased, while the number of students had decreased.

	According to the	Shareholdings	Total
	2017 Intellectual	2017	
	Capital Report		
Staff			
Individuals (as of 31.12.2017)	3,324.0	385.0	3,709.0
Full-time equivalents (FTE)	2,219.7	298.5	2,518.2
(annual average)			
Students	16,816.0		16,816.0
(cut-off date: 21/12/2017)			

Table 3: Number of staff and students at TU Graz in 2017



The data used to prepare this GHG balance were provided by TU Graz organisational units and external partners (see p. 2).

The number of kilometres travelled by commuters (both staff and students) was obtained from the 2019 traffic survey carried out by the TU Graz Institute of Highway Engineering and Transport Planning as part of a master's thesis (Forstner 2021). The numbers were broken down into the number of staff (4,787 individuals incl. those at shareholdings) and students (16,091 individuals) in 2020. In addition, the numbers of kilometres travelled by commuters were adjusted with regard to the pandemic-related home office regulations based on certain assumptions. This adjustment is described in more detail in the chapter on *Mobility*.

Comparison with the 2017 GHG balance

When comparing the 2017 and 2020 balances, it is important to emphasise that the emission factors used are updated annually, as this explains certain differences between the two balances. The changes in consumption or in kilometres travelled by passengers and vehicles, the emission factors, and the resulting emissions in t CO₂e are presented below in tables for each category.

Please note that some corrections were made to the 2017 GHG balance (see p. 5–6) in the process of comparing the 2017 and 2020 GHG balances. The correct values for the 2017 GHG balance are now shown in this report.

Please note that the methodologies used to calculate the 2020 GHG emissions for some subcategories differ from those used for the 2017 GHG balance. The adjustments are shown below:

(Sub)Category	2017 procedure	2020 procedure
Fuels	Survey of company vehicle fuel	Survey of the fuel consumption
	consumption for 5 institutes	for a total of 10 institutes and
	and organisational units (OU).	OUs.
Paper	Consumption recorded by	Additional consumption data
	Purchasing Service and	collected by the TU Graz
	Printkultur (HTU Copyshops).	Verlag and OU Communication
		and Marketing.
Food (canteen)	Data for dairy products, rice,	Data for dairy products, rice,
	fruit, and vegetables also	fruit, and vegetables not
	collected.	included, as these categories
		are not included in <i>ClimCalc</i> .

The data used in the following sections are partly based on estimates. However, it can be assumed that the resulting inaccuracy related to the total TU Graz emissions does not exceed +/-3%.

3. GHG balance



3.1 Summary

In total, around **15,725 tonnes of CO₂e** were produced at TU Graz in **2020**. This represents around 8,500 tonnes or about **35% less** GHG emissions than those reported in **2017 with 24,100 tonnes of CO₂e**. The largest share of emissions is recorded in the *Energy* category (11,520 tonnes of CO₂e), followed by *Mobility* (3,410 tonnes of CO₂e), *Material use* (614 tonnes of CO₂e), and finally the *Canteen* (181 tonnes of CO₂e).



Figure 5: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by main categories: Total 15,725 tonnes CO₂e



Compared to 2017, emissions have decreased significantly, mainly due to the coronavirus pandemic. Emissions in the *Mobility* category in particular dropped sharply due to the pandemic.



Figure 6: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by main categories: Comparison of 2017 and 2020

The GHG emissions by subcategory are presented below, indicating emissions for 2020 alone and the comparison with those for 2017:







Figure 8: TU Graz 2017 GHG balance by subcategory: Total 24,077 tonnes of CO2e







The following graph shows the emissions by subcategory with a vertical axis extending up to 1,200 tonnes of CO_2e , excluding the subcategories *Electricity* and *District heating*. This makes the values displayed for these subcategories easier to read. The emissions shown in the *Electricity* and *District heating* subcategories exceed these 1,200 tonnes; therefore, they are not shown here (see p. 17).





Figure 10: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by subcategories, extending up to a maximum of 1,200 tonnes of CO₂e (excluding electricity and district heating)

In the comparison between 2020 and 2017, the emissions in the *Business trips* subcategory are also eliminated, as these significantly exceeded 1,200 tonnes of CO₂e in 2017:





Figure 11: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by subcategories up to a maximum of 1,200 tonnes of CO₂e (excluding electricity, district heating, and business trips): Comparison between 2017 and 2020

Results by scope category:

The following graph shows the results according to the three scope categories in kg CO₂e. All (sub)categories available in *ClimCalc* are included here:

Zusammenfassung der Bere	echnungser	gebnisse nach Scope-Ebenen (in kg CO ₂ -Äquivalenten)
Hauptmodul		2020 (final)
Scope 1		652 521
Scope 2		8 184 417
Scope 3		6 693 971
Summe Hauptmodul	(kg CO ₂ eq.)	15 530 909
Zusatzmodul Mensa		
Scope 3		180 899
Summe Hauptmodul + Zusatzmodul	(kg CO ₂ eq.)	15 711 808

Table 4: Summarised calculation results for the TU Graz GHG balance by scope category (inkg CO2 equivalents)



3.2 Categories

3.2.1 Energy

The *Energy* category is divided into *electricity* (purchased *electricity* and PV personal generation and consumption), *natural gas heat, natural gas research, district heating,* and *fuel applications research*. The division of natural gas into *heat* and *research* was made for the first time in this balance, because the majority of natural gas is used at TU Graz for research and not for heating. As the graphs below show, the natural gas used for research accounts for 426 tonnes of CO₂e, i.e. around 4% of emissions in the *Energy* category, while natural gas used for heating accounts for 0.4% of the emissions or 49 tonnes of CO₂e.

The data for this category were provided by the *Buildings and Technical Support* organisational unit, as well as by the *Institute of Thermal Turbomachinery and Machine Dynamics* in the case of fuel applications.

Most of TU Graz's GHG emissions are caused in the *Energy* category, totalling around 11,520 tonnes CO_2e . This accounts for around 78% of TU Graz's total greenhouse gas emissions. The main share is found in the *electricity* subcategory, namely 5,870 tonnes CO_2e . The second-highest share is found in the *district heating* subcategory with around 5,093 tonnes of CO_2e , followed by *natural gas research* with 426 tonnes of CO_2e , *fuel applications research* with 83 tonnes of CO_2e , and *natural gas heat* with 49 tonnes of CO_2e .



Figure 12: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance: Energy (in %)





Figure 13: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Energy

Comparison of 2020 and 2017

Compared to 2017, emissions in the *Energy* category were around 3,100 tonnes CO₂e lower in 2020 (2017: 14,600 t vs. 2020: 11,520 t). With the exception of natural gas (heating and research), emissions in all energy subcategories in 2020 are lower than in 2017, as the following graph shows:





Figure 14: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Energy, comparison of 2017 and 2020

The *electricity* subcategory is divided further into *electricity consumption (without UZ 46 certification)* and *own photovoltaic consumption (PV)*. As *ClimCalc* only distinguishes between electricity consumption <u>without certification</u> according to the eco-label RL UZ 46 and electricity consumption <u>with certification</u> according to the eco-label RL UZ 46, the electricity consumption at TU Graz should be evaluated as electricity consumption without the eco-label RL UZ 46 certification. The high location-based emission factor is used for the calculation, so that electricity products purchased by TU Graz are reported according to the emissions they create. The special features of UZ 46-certified electricity products ae that which electricity may be traded under this certificate is clearly defined and that stringent, transparent criteria must be met to sell electricity as 'UZ 46 electricity'. A minimum share of PV electricity also needs to be included, while the remaining shares can come from biomass, geothermal, wind power, or hydropower (Austrian Ecolabel 2018).

Please note that TU Graz already uses 100% green electricity in accordance with Section 5 (1) of the Green Electricity Act and switched to UZ 46-certified electricity in 2022. In the Green Electricity Act, green electricity is defined as "electrical energy from renewable energy sources" under Section 5(1)(22) (ÖSG 2012).

The electricity currently used at TU Graz (EAA Aqua of the Austrian Energy Alliance) is composed of (according to the invoice dated 14 April 2020):

- 68.57% Hydropower
- 15.63% Solid or liquid biomass
- 10.73% Wind power
- 3.40% Biogas



- 1.59% Solar energy
- 0.08% Other green energy

The origin of the electricity is indicated as (according to invoice dated 14/04/2020):

- 39.18% Austria
- 27.42% Norway
- 15.90% and 12.52% France
- 2.27% Slovenia
- 2.27% Finland
- 0.03% Czech Republic
- 0.12% Sweden
- 0.02% Denmark

This electricity consumption also includes the electricity used to run the heat pumps at TU Graz, i.e. for heating and cooling. These data are from 2021, as no data have been collected for 2020. The consumption amounts to around 527,150 kWh, resulting in emissions of 107 tonnes of CO₂e.

Compared to 2017, the figures for *electricity consumption (without certification)* have decreased slightly; the consumption, the emission factor, and therefore also the emissions have dropped. However, the consumption of *PV electricity* from our own generation increased sharply in 2020 (by approx. 300%). This increase can be explained by the expansion of TU Graz's own PV systems, namely from 145 kW_{peak} in 2017 to 605 kW_{peak} in 2020. The PV systems generated the highest amount of electricity in 2020 in the month of July, when over 80,000 kWh of electricity were produced. Compared to this, December 2020 was the month with the lowest *PV electricity* generation at just under 5,500 kWh.

The emission factor for *PV electricity* was 33% lower than that reported for 2017. 100% of the *PV electricity* generated at TU Graz is consumed at the university at all times.

Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Electricity					
	Consumption in	Emission factor	Emissions in		
	kWh	in kg CO₂e/kWh	tonnes CO2e		
2020 Electricity	28,813,347	0.2030	5,849		
without UZ 46	of which 527,150		107 from heat pumps		
certification	for heat pumps				
2020 PV	526,924	0.0400	21		
Total	29,340,271		5,870		
2017 Electricity	30,882,000	0.2573	7,946		
without UZ 46	of which 379,000		100 from heat pumps		
certification	for heat pumps				
2017 PV	132,000	0.0600	8		
Total	30,414,000		7,954		



Increase/decrease	- 5%	- 21%	- 26%
in % Electricity			
Increase/decrease	+ 299%	- 33%	+ 163%
in % PV			
Total			- 24%

Table 5: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Electricity

The 2020 emission factor for district heating was also 11% lower than in 2017. The total natural gas consumption (heating + research) increased by around 40% in 2020 compared to 2017, while the district heating consumption decreased slightly. This primarily depends on the number of heating degree days in the respective year. This was 3,852.6 in 2017 and 3,627.3 in 2020 (TU Graz GuT energy statistics). The increase in natural gas consumption can be explained by the fact that different amounts of natural gas were used for research projects in the two years, and a slightly higher number of rented properties were heated with natural gas in 2020. The fact that staff and students spent a large part of 2020 working from home only had a minor impact in the *Energy* category.

Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Natural gas				
	Consumption	Emission factor	Emissions in tonnes of	
	in kWh	in kg CO₂e/kWh	CO ₂ e	
2020	1,770,350	0.2681	475	
	from research:		from research: 426	
	1,589,292		from heat: 49	
	from heat:			
	181,292			
2017	1,269.946	0.2703	343	
	from research:		from research: 335	
	1,238.221		from heat: 9	
	from heat:			
	31.779			
Increase/decrease in	+ 39%	- 1%	+ 38%	
%				

Table 6: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 - Natural gas



Comparison of 2020 and 2017: District heating				
	Consumption in	Emission factor in	Emissions in	
	kWh	kg CO2e/kWh	tonnes CO ₂ e	
2020	16,480,900	0.3090	5,093	
2017	17,773,000	0.3487	6,197	
Increase/decrease in	- 7%	- 11%	- 18%	
%				

Table 7: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – District heating

In December 2021, the emission factor for Energie Graz's district heating was corrected upwards by the Environment Agency Austria for recent years. The year 2017 was also affected by this correction, which is why district heating emissions for 2017 are now approx. 1,800 tonnes of CO₂e higher than originally assumed in the 2017 balance. Here, in the 2020 GHG balance report, the corrected values for 2017 have already been applied using the emission factor 0.3487 and 6,197 tonnes of CO₂e (cf. 2017 before the correction: emission factor 0.2483; emissions: 4,413 tonnes of CO₂e).

The category of *fuel applications research* also deserves a second look, as consumption has declined (-26% for diesel and -45% for petrol). This decline can be explained by the fact that different quantities of fuels were used for research purposes in both 2017 and 2020.

Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Fuel applications research				
	Consumption in	Emission factor in	Emissions in	
	litres	kg CO ₂ e/litre	tonnes CO ₂ e	
2020				
Diesel	23,084	3.1335	72	
Petrol	3,571	2.8840	10	
Total	26,655		82	
2017				
Diesel	31,325	3.1006	97	
Petrol	6,543	2.7218	18	
Total	37,868		115	



Increase/decrease in			
% Diesel	- 26%	+ 1%	- 26%
Increase/decrease in			
% Petrol	- 45%	+ 6%	- 44%
Total			- 29%

Table 8: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Fuel applications research

3.2.2 Mobility

The *Mobility* category is subdivided into *staff commuting*, *student commuting*, *business trips*, *staff stays abroad*, *student stays abroad*, and *own vehicle fleet*. The data for this category were provided by the *Human Resources Department*, the *International Office - Welcome Center*, and the respective *institutes with company vehicles*. A list of institutes with company vehicles was provided by the *Finance and Accounting* organisational unit (see Appendix 3). In addition, the traffic survey carried out at TU Graz in 2019 was used (Forstner 2021), i.e. it was assumed that the modal split in terms of kilometres travelled in both the *staff commuting* and *student commuting*, the 2019 transport survey also recorded the GHG emissions of family home journeys by staff and students and presented these separately (Forstner 2021). However, according to *ClimCalc*, this special category is not included in the overall TU Graz 2020 GHG balance. More details are provided in the section entitled "Commuting: special category of family home journeys ".

Producing about 3,400 tonnes of CO₂e, the *Mobility* category is the second-highest emitting category at TU Graz after the *Energy* category. The *business trips* subcategory is the most significant one, responsible for around 1,055 tonnes of CO₂e in 2020, followed by *staff commuting* with around 935 tonnes, *student stays abroad* with around 532 tonnes, *students commuting* with around 519 tonnes, *staff stays abroad* with around 335 tonnes, and the *own vehicle fleet* with around 34 tonnes. This report places a particular focus on mobility, as TU Graz can reduce emissions very effectively by taking measures in this area. Some of these measures have already been adopted as described in the "Climate-neutral TU Graz 2030" roadmap, and an implementation plan has been drawn up (TU Graz 2020). When interpreting all numbers mentioned here, please again note that the coronavirus pandemic had a specific impact on mobility in 2020. Due to lockdowns and travel restrictions, university mobility at TU Graz decreased significantly in 2020. The comparisons between data for the years 2020 and 2017 at the end of the chapter provide more details.





Figure 15: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Mobility (in %)



Figure 16: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Mobility



Staff and student commuting

The commuting kilometres reported are based on data collected in the 2019 traffic survey carried out by the Institute of Road and Transport Engineering at TU Graz for both staff and students (Forstner 2021). The figures were converted to indicate the number of staff (4,787 individuals incl. those in shareholdings) and students (16,091) in 2020. These figures were extracted from the TU Graz 2020 Intellectual Capital Report and from the organisational unit Statistics and Data Protection (shareholdings) (see Intellectual Capital Report 2020, p. 14). As university operations were restricted in the months of March to December due to the pandemic, the commuting kilometres were adjusted (see Annex 4): Minus 60% for staff and minus 80% for students in the period from mid-March to December. The first two and a half months of 2020 were calculated at 100%. These are rough estimates made based on discussions with TU Graz staff and an informal survey of 26 students. In addition, a study by Deloitte conducted in collaboration with University of Vienna and University of Graz found that at least half of the staff in almost 90% of the Austrian companies surveyed worked from home during the lockdown phase from April to May. In 60% of the companies surveyed, all staff, with the exception of a few system administrators, worked from home (Kellner et. al 2020).

In the *commuting* subcategory, both staff and student data show that the car is by far the means of transport causing the most emissions. Therefore, TU Graz would like to promote the use of electric cars, e.g. by installing around 200 charging points for electric cars on the TU Graz campus by 2030 (TU Graz 2020). Currently (as of 2024), 33 charging points are in operation on the TU Graz campuses. The total number of parking spaces at TU Graz in 2020 was around 680.

The following graphs compare the emissions by mode of transport, one for the subcategory of *staff commuting* and one for the subcategory of *student commuting*:









Figure 18: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Student commuting

Please note that a high proportion of both TU Graz students and staff report using walking and cycling as modes of transport in the *commuting* category (see 2019



transport survey: Forstner 2021), but these modes are not shown in the GHG balance as they are virtually emission-free.

The following graph from the 2019 transport survey shows the difference in the modal split (i.e. modal split by main mode of transport) for TU Graz commuters compared to those commuting to the city of Graz and to other cities in Austria. It is noticeable that the bicycle is used especially frequently by TU Graz commuters. Both inbound and outbound commuters were considered in this comparison. The modes shown here are *walking* (yellow), *cycling* (green), *motorised private transport* (red), and *public transport* (blue). The data are taken from the TU Graz 2019 traffic survey (Forstner 2021) and based on raw data from a mobility survey by *Österreich unterwegs* (Österreich unterwegs 2013/2014, Forstner 2021, p. 48-75).



Vergleich Modal Split des Arbeitsweges nach Hauptverkehrsmittel und Hauptstädte

Figure 19: Comparison of modal split for the work commute according to the main mode of transport used by inbound and outbound commuters, to capital cities, and at TU Graz (Forstner 2021, p. 65; raw data: Österreich unterwegs 2013/14)

If only local commuters are taken into account, i.e. only those living in the city of Graz, pedestrians and cyclists are even more strongly represented. Together they make up 76% of the total. Comparing the figures for local and inbound commuters reveals that inbound commuters especially make greater use of private motorised transport and public transport. The following graph shows the modal split for local commuters:





Vergleich Modal Split des Arbeitsweges nach Hauptverkehrsmittel und Hauptstädte der Binnenpendler

Figure 20: Comparison of modal split for local commuters according to the main mode of transport used by local commuters, to capital cities and at TU Graz (Forstner, p. 69; raw data: Österreich unterwegs 2013/14)

A similar picture also emerges when comparing the modal split for those commuting to reach educational institutions in capital cities with the modal split for commuting students at TU Graz. In the first graph, both inbound and outbound commuters are considered, while only inbound commuters are considered in the second graph. Here, too, an increase is seen in the share of pedestrians and cyclists among internal commuters, while the percentage using motorised private transport and public transport decreases:





Figure 21: Comparison of the modal split for commuters to reach educational institutions by main mode of transport used by inbound and outbound commuters, for capital cities, and at TU Graz (Forstner 2021, p. 66; raw data: Österreich unterwegs 2013/14)



Vergleich Modal Split des Ausbildungsweges nach Hauptverkehrsmittel und Hauptstädte der Binnenpendler

Figure 22: Comparison of the modal split for local commuters to reach educational institutions by the main mode of transport used, for capital cities, and at TU Graz (Forstner, p. 70; raw data: Österreich unterwegs 2013/14)



Commuting: special category family home journeys

The 2019 transport survey also addressed commuting for the purpose of travelling home to visit family (Forstner 2021). Family home journeys are journeys back to the main residence or family home if this is not located where a daily commute to TU Graz is possible. An example would be the student from Carinthia who visits his family there once a month, but has his second residence in the city of Graz. However, family home journeys are also possible for TU Graz staff, e.g. for a professor whose family lives in Munich, where she makes a family home journey from Graz every weekend.

The resulting emissions are not included in TU Graz's GHG balance, but should nevertheless be presented here, as family home journeys represent an interesting additional phenomenon related to commuter mobility. The following section provides insights into the results of the 2019 traffic survey on family home journeys. For both staff and students, the modal split in terms of kilometres travelled on family home journeys in 2019 was dominated by private motorised transport, followed by public transport, and finally air travel, which plays a subordinate role (Forstner 2021). These figures show that students travel significantly more total kilometres in the private and public transport categories than staff. This is also reflected in the resulting total emissions, which are discussed at the end of this special chapter.



Figure 23: Modal split for family home journeys by staff by total kilometres travelled in 2019 (Forstner 2021, p. 40)





(Motorised individual transport (MIV): 24.66 million km, 58%; public transport (ÖPNV): 17.71 million km, 42%; air transport: 0.11 million km, 0.3%)

Figure 24: Modal split for students' family home journeys by total kilometres travelled in 2019 (Forstner 2021, p. 41)

In 2019, emissions from family home journeys amounted to around 130 tonnes of CO₂e for staff and around 2,900 tonnes of CO₂e for students. Private motorised transport is responsible for the most emissions by both staff and student travel. This is followed by air transport for staff – although this accounts for the fewest kilometres – and finally by public transport. Public transport is responsible for the second highest emissions for students, followed by air travel.







(Motorised individual transport (MIT) 2,325.61 t CO₂e, 81%; public transport (ÖPNV): 453.27 t CO₂e, 16%; air transport: 82.58 t CO₂e, 3%)

Figure 26: Emissions from family home journeys by students in 2019 in tonnes of CO₂e (Forstner 2021, p. 43)

Business trips

As the following graph shows, most emissions in the business trips subcategory are caused by air transport, with long-haul flights in first place, followed by short-haul flights, then cars, and finally trains and long-distance buses.

In 2020, a distinction had not yet been made between electric cars and fossil-fuelled cars. However, this distinction is already reflected in the new CO₂ monitoring system for business trips at TU Graz ("**CO₂e app**") for **2022** data. This is also important because TU Graz has a car sharing system (*Family of Power*) that allows e-cars to be borrowed for business trips.



Figure 27: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Business trips

These emissions have also been broken down into the following categories of passenger kilometres:



Modes of transport: pkm business trips, 2020			
Modes of transport	Passenger kilometres (pkm)		
Car	305,596		
Railway	240,918		
Long-distance bus	21,470		
Short-haul flight	194,120		
Medium- and long-haul flights	979,800		
Total	1,741,904		

Table 9: Modes of transport by passenger kilometres (pkm), business trips, 2020

This shows, for example, that more passenger kilometres were travelled by car or train than by short-haul flights, but that the emissions from short-haul flights are many times higher than those from cars or trains due to the significantly higher emission factor (see p. 36). A list with an overview of the most frequent destinations for business trips is found in the appendix (see Appendix 5).

Stays abroad by staff and students

The stays abroad carried out by staff and students whose home university is TU Graz, i.e. outgoing staff and students, are recorded in this subcategory. Since the modes of transport used in the subcategory *stays abroad* (both for staff and students) were not systematically recorded at TU Graz in 2020, a highly simplified assumption was made that long-distance buses were used to travel distances of up to 750 km each way – for a total of two ways (outbound and inbound trips) – and that aeroplanes were used to travel distances of over 750 km. As these flights are already considered long-haul flights, one short-haul flight per journey was also assumed for the Graz-Vienna distance. Applying this assumption gave the following results:





Figure 28: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Staff stays abroad



Figure 29: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Student stays abroad

Once again, it is clear that flights contribute significantly to emissions in this subcategory. Medium- and long-haul flights are particularly significant contributors. A


list providing an overview of the most frequent destinations for staff and students travelling abroad in 2021 is found in the appendix (see Appendix 6).

From **2021 onwards**, the modes of transport used for stays abroad have been systematically recorded at TU Graz. This will enable more precise mapping of the modes of transport used and the emissions generated in future GHG balances. Initial data for the academic year beginning with the 2020/2021 winter semester show that aircraft and fossil-fuelled cars are the most frequently used modes of transport for stays abroad (by staff and students). Out of a total of 124 trips recorded (staff and students), 80 were made by plane, 30 by car (fossil-fuelled), 10 by train or overnight train, and none by long-distance bus. Therefore, the actual emissions from staff and students travelling abroad are likely to be higher than the emissions calculated for the 2020 GHG balance.



Figure 30: Modes of transport used for stays abroad in the academic year 2020/21 (in %, staff and students together)



Comparison of 2020 and 2017

Compared to 2017, emissions in the *Mobility* category dropped sharply in 2020. This is due to the pandemic-related reduction in passenger kilometres travelled, as both passenger transport and the transport services offered were reduced in 2020 due to the pandemic measures. Overall, emissions are 62% lower than those in 2017.



Figure31 : GHG balance of TU Graz 2020: Mobility, comparison 2017 and 2020

Flights

In general, the GHG emissions caused by air travel are particularly significant. By 2030, TU Graz aims to reduce flight emissions from staff and students (business trips and stays abroad) by 50%. (These calculations will be based on the emission factors for 2017, which will remain unchanged until 2030; increases in efficiency through aircraft or changes in occupancy levels, therefore, were not taken into account when setting this target.) Due to the pandemic, these emissions were much lower in 2020 than in 2017. While around 5,200 tonnes of CO₂e were emitted as a result of TU Graz staff air travel in 2017, this figure fell to around 1,316 tonnes of CO₂e in 2020. Emissions from staff air travel have been reduced by 75%.

Commuting



As the 2017 GHG balance is also based on the 2019 transport survey, which was also used to calculate the passenger kilometres and GHG emissions in 2020, the same reduction in passenger kilometres for all modes of transport is seen for 2020, i.e. 49% for staff and 65% for students. It was assumed that the modal split had not changed. Compared to 2017, the emission factors for 2020 increased for the *car*, *rail*, and *public transport buses* subcategories. In contrast, they decreased for the subcategory *public transport tram*, and the biggest change was recorded for the *tram* at around minus 81%. This is due to the fact that the emission factor was revised and that a significantly higher occupancy rate of trams was used for the recalculation. Overall, emissions in the *commuting* subcategory dropped by 27% for staff and 56% for students.



Figure 32: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Staff commuting, comparison of 2017 and 2020



Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Staff commuting			
	Passenger	Emission factor in	Emissions in
	kilometres (pkm)	kg CO ₂ e/km	tonnes CO2e
2020			
Car	3,676,041	0.2180	801
E-car	21,628	0.0940	2
Motorised two-			
wheeler	156,443	0.1450	23
Public transport			
railway	1,939.,12	0.0190	37
Public transport bus	1,163,587	0.0600	70
Public transport tram	475,095	0.0050	2
Total	7,432,106		935
2017			
Car	5,425,184	0.1777	964
E-car	-	Not in ClimCalc 2017	-
Motorised two-			
wheeler	230,882	0.1356	31
Public transport			
railway	2,862,080	0.0140	40
Public transport bus	1,717,248	0.0479	82
Public transport tram	739,459	0.0265	20
Total	10,974,853		1,137
Increase/decrease in			
% Staff commuting			
Car	-32%	+23%	-17%
Motorised two-			
wheeler	-32%	+7%	-28%
Public transport			
railway [.]	-32%	+36%	-8%
Public transport bus	-32%	+25%	-15%
Public transport tram	-36%	-81%	-88%
Total	-32%		-18%

Table 10: Comparison 2020 and 2017 – Staff commuting





Figure 33: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Student commuting, Comparison of 2017 and 2020

Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Student commuting			
	Passenger	Emission factor in	Emissions in
	kilometres (pkm)	kg CO ₂ e/km	tonnes CO2e
2020			
Car	1,661,249	0.2180	362
E-car	3,509	0.0940	0.3
Motorised two-			
wheeler	216,629	0.1450	31
Public transport			
railway	2,659,529	0.0190	51
Public transport bus	1,189,067	0.0600	71
Public transport tram	738,581	0.0050	4
Total	6,468,564		519
2017			
Car	4,525,112	0.1777	804
E-car	-	Not in ClimCalc 2017	-

Motorised two-			
wheeler	590,081	0.1356	80
Public transport			
railway	7,244,350	0.0140	101
Public transport bus	3,238,927	0.0479	155
Public transport tram	2,011,837	0.0265	53
Total	17,610,307		1,194
Increase/decrease in			
% Commuting Stud.			
Car	-63%	+23%	-55%
Motorised two-			
wheeler	-63%	+7%	-61%
Public transport			
railway	-63%	+36%	-50%
Public transport bus	-63%	+25%	-54%
Public transport tram	-63%	-81%	-93%
Total			-56%

Table 11: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Student commuting

Business trips

In the *business travel* subcategory, both passenger kilometres and tonnes of CO₂e have decreased. The sharpest decline here was recorded for long-distance buses, with a 94% reduction in passenger kilometres and emissions observed. The emission factors for 2020 increased for all modes of transport, with the exception of long-distance buses, as compared to 2017, and the sharpest increase of 161% was recorded for short-haul flights.







Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Business trips			
	Passenger	Emission factor in	Emissions in
	kilometres (pkm)	kg CO ₂ e/km	tonnes CO2e
2020			
Car	305,596	0.2180	67
Railway	240,918	0.0190	5
Long-distance bus	21,470	0.0510	1
Short-haul flight	194,120	1.9980	388
Medium- and long-			
haul flights	979,800	0.6070	595
Total	1,741,904		1,055
2017			
Car	826,954	0.1777	147
Railway	1,760,801	0.0140	25
Long-distance bus	352,202	0.0521	18
Short-haul flight	1,304,408	0.7669	1,000
Medium- and long-			
haul flights	9,972,138	0.3903	3,892
Total	14,216,503		5,082



Increase/decrease in			
%			
Car	-63%	+23%	-55%
Railway	-86%	+36%	-82%
Long-distance bus	-94%	-2%	-94%
Short-haul flight	-85%	+161%	-61%
Medium- and long-			
haul flights	-90%	+56%	-85%
Total			-79%

Table 12: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Business trips

Stays abroad

Emissions in the subcategory *stays abroad* also decreased in 2020 compared to 2017 due to the coronavirus pandemic. While the decrease in passenger kilometres for staff ranges from 13 to 26%, it is greater for students, i.e. 66 to 69%. The 2020 emission factors rose very sharply for air travel, resulting in an up 161% increase for short-haul flights and 56% for medium- and long-haul flights. While the emission factor for long-distance buses fell by 2%. Emissions from students travelling abroad decreased by 49%, while those from staff increased.



Figure 35: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Staff stays abroad, comparison of 2017 and 2020



Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Staff stays abroad			
	Passenger	Emission factor in	Emissions in
	kilometres (pkm)	kg CO₂e/km	tonnes CO2e
2020			
Long-distance bus	39,218	0.0510	2
Short-haul flight	19,040	1.9980	38
Medium- and long-			
haul flights	485,260	0.6070	295
Total	543,518		335
2017			
Long-distance bus	47,640	0.0521	2
Short-haul flight	21,978	0.7669	17
Medium- and long-			
haul flights	654,509	0.3903	255
Total	724,127		274
Increase/decrease in			
%			
Long-distance bus	-18%	-2%	0%
Short-haul flight	-13%	+161%	+124%
Medium- and long-			
haul flights	-26%	+56%	+16%
Total			+22%

Table 13: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Staff stays abroad





Figure 36: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Student stays abroad, comparison of 2017 and 2020

Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Student stays abroad			
	Passenger	Emission factor in	Emissions in
	kilometres (pkm)	kg CO ₂ e/km	tonnes CO ₂ e
2020			
Long-distance bus	31,832	0.0510	2
Short-haul flight	29,680	1.9980	59
Medium- and long-			
haul flights	776,360	0.6070	471
Total	837,872		532
2017			
Long-distance bus	93,537	0.0521	5
Short-haul flight	87,043	0.7669	67
Medium- and long-			
haul flights	2,510,470	0.3903	980
Total	2,691,050		1,052
Increase/decrease in			
%			
Long-distance bus	-66%	-2%	-68%
Short-haul flight	-66%	+161%	-11%
Medium- and long-			
haul flights	-69%	+56%	-52%
Total			-49%

Table 14: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Student stays abroad



Own vehicle fleet

Overall, emissions in 2020 were lower in almost all subcategories of the *Mobility* category than those in 2017, with the exception of the subcategory "*Staff stays abroad*" and "*Own vehicle fleet*". In the latter, emissions increased by 19%. However, this can be explained by the fact that more institutes with company vehicles were included in the reporting in 2020 than in 2017; therefore, the survey was more complete. In addition to the institutes and organisational units where company vehicles had been surveyed in 2017:

- Buildings and Technical Support
- Institute for Technology and Testing of Construction Materials with affiliated TVFA for strength and materials testing
- Institute of Hydraulic Engineering and Water Resources Management
- Institute for Rock Mechanics and Tunnelling
- Virtual Vehicle

the company vehicles of the following institutes and organisational units were also recorded in 2020:

- Urban Water Management and Landscape Water Engineering
- Thermodynamics and Sustainable Propulsion
- Automotive Engineering
- Vehicle Safety
- Central Information Technology

While all vehicles surveyed in 2017 were diesel-powered, vehicles powered by petrol or electricity are now also shown in for the year 2020. According to the 2020 vehicle inventory list (*Finance and Accounting*), the following vehicles are found at TU Graz:

- 9 cars
- 4 lorries
- 3 delivery vans
- 2 multi-purpose vehicles
- 1 tractor
- 1 motorbike
- 1 electric scooter

The number of kilometres driven by diesel-powered vehicles in 2020 was 44% lower than in 2017, while the 2020 emission factor for diesel vehicles increased by 22%.





Figure 37: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Vehicle fleet, comparison of 2017 and 2020

Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Vehicle fleet			
		Emission factor in	
	Vehicle kilometres	kg CO ₂ e/vehicle	Emissions in
	(Fzkm)	kilometre	tonnes of CO2e
2020			
Petrol	27,875	0.2560	7
Diesel	79,676	0.2470	20
E-car	15,004	0.1070	2
Light commercial			
vehicles	18,107	0.3110	6
Total	142,319		34
2017			
Diesel	141,203	0.2023	29
Increase/decrease in %			
Diesel	-44%	+22%	-31%
Total			+19%

Table 15: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Vehicle fleet



3.2.3 Material use

The subcategories of *paper*, *refrigerants*, and *IT equipment* are recorded in the *Material use* category at TU Graz. Data were provided for this purpose by the organisational units *Purchasing Services*, *Finance and Accounting*, *Buildings and Technical Support*, *Communication and Marketing*, *Publishing*, as well as the external units *Print Culture (HTU Copyshops)*, and *Harnisch Gebäudeservice Graz*. Emissions in the *Material use* category totalled 614 tonnes in 2020. All subcategories fall within the range of 195–211 tonnes of CO₂e; therefore, each subcategory accounts for around one-third of the emissions in this category.



Figure 38: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Material use (in %)





Figure 39: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Material use

Comparison of 2020 and 2017

Compared to 2017, total emissions in the *paper* and *refrigerants* subcategories increased in 2020, while those in the *IT equipment* subcategory decreased, as the following graph shows:





Figure 40: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Material use, comparison of 2017 and 2020

In the case of *paper*, the consumption of copy paper and the production of printed products increased in 2020 compared to 2017. However, this can be explained by the fact that consumption data from the *Purchasing Service* and *HTU Printkultur* (copyshops), as well as for printed products from the *Communication and Marketing* organisational unit and the *Verlag der TU Graz* (publishing house), were also collected in 2020. The only slight decrease in the use of sanitary paper in 2020 – although staff and students were absent in certain phases due to the pandemic - compared to 2017 can be explained by the increase in hand washing as a hygienic measure in 2020.

The emission factors changed only slightly from 2017 to 2020. Emissions rose for copy paper and printed products (by 16% and 90%, respectively) but dropped for sanitary paper (minus 7% and 5%, respectively). The sharp increase in emissions from printed products can be explained by the fact that the process of categorising these as copy paper or printed products differed between 2020 and 2017, resulting in an increase in the consumption of printed products in 2020 compared to 2017. Overall, 9% more emissions were recorded in the *paper* subcategory in 2020 than in 2017.



Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Paper				
	Consumption in	Emission factor in	Emissions in	
	kg	kg CO₂e/kg	tonnes CO2e	
2020				
Copy paper	31,383	1.0000	31	
Sanitary paper toilet	12,194	3.0000	37	
Sanitary paper towels	32,267	3.0000	97	
Printed products	38,036	1.1300	43	
Total	113,880		208	
2017				
Copy paper	25,827	1.0461	27	
Sanitary paper toilet	12,611	3.1088	39	
Sanitary paper towels	32,894	3.1088	102	
Printed products	20,315	1.1109	23	
Total	91,647		191	
Increase/decrease				
in %				
Copy paper	+22%	-4%	+16%	
Sanitary paper toilet	-3%	-3%	-7%	
Sanitary paper towels	-2%	-3%	-5%	
Printed products	+87%	+2%	+90%	
Total			+9%	

Table 16: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Paper

The consumption of *refrigerants* rose sharply in 2020, from a total of 8 kg in 2017 to 102 kg in 2020, resulting in a 616% increase in emissions in this subcategory in 2020 compared to 2017. This increase can be explained by the fact that damage occurred to a refrigeration system in 2020, resulting in a refrigerant leak. This system is already being dismantled. In general, the process of switching from gas-filled systems to water-filled systems is being accelerated. In the future, water will be used as the refrigerant instead of gas, and, if the pipes leak, only water will escape instead of the climate-damaging gas. However, refrigerants will still be used for the cooling process itself.



Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Refrigerants				
	Consumption	Emission factor in	Emissions in	
	in kg	kg CO₂e/kg	tonnes CO2e	
2020				
R410A	17	2,102.5000	36	
R407c	74	1,788.8500	132	
R404a	11	3,936.6000	43	
Total	102		211	
2017				
R410A	1	2,087.5000	2	
R404a	7	3,922.0000	27	
Total	8		30	
Increase/decrease in %				
R410A	+1,600%	+1%	+1,612%	
R404a	+57%	0	+58%	
			+616%	

Table 17: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Refrigerants

The reduction in the GHG emissions seen in 2020 in the *IT equipment* subcategory is primarily due to the fact that fewer desktop PCs, toner for laser and inkjet printers, multifunctional devices, and monitors were purchased and used. The majority of emission factors for this subcategory have increased, e.g. mobile phones by +143% and beamers and projectors by +174%. The increase in emission factors can be explained by the fact that these are constantly being updated, and additional emissions are being recorded as part of these updates which are then included in the emission factor. Mobile phones are especially becoming ever larger and more powerful, which is reflected in the emissions associated with their manufacture. Overall, emissions in the *IT equipment* subcategory fell by 24% in 2020.



Comparison of 2020 and 2017: IT equipment				
			Emissions in	
	Purchase in	Emission factor in	tonnes of	
	pieces	kg CO ₂ e/piece	CO ₂ e	
2020				
Multifunctional devices	1	300.0000	0,3	
Laser printers and inkjet				
printers	11	63.6000	1	
Notebooks	458	172.0000	79	
Desktop PCs	209	226.0000	47	
Screens	127	350.0000	44	
Beamers and projectors	12	172.0000	2	
Internal servers	65	226.0000	15	
Mobile phones	36	38.8000	1	
Laser printers with toner and				
inkjet printers	198	14.1000	3	
Multifunctional devices with				
toner	198	14.1000	3	
Total	1,315		195	
2017				
Multifunctional devices	2	313.9600	1	
Laser printers and inkjet				
printers	8	62.7920	1	
Notebooks	280	141.3700	40	
Desktop PCs	517	270.2200	140	
Screens	204	336.3900	69	
Beamers and projectors	38	62.7920	2	
	Included in			
Internal servers	desktop PC	-	-	
Mobile phones	49	16.0000	1	
Laser printers with toner and				
inkjet printers	313	10.0150	3	
Multifunctional devices with	0.40	40.0450		
toner	313	10.0150	3	
Total	1,724		258	
Increase/decrease in %				
Multifunctional devices	-50	-4%	-52%	
Laser printers and inkjet				
printers	+38%	+1%	+39%	
Notebooks	+64%	+22%	+99%	
Desktop PCs	-60%	-16%	-66%	



TU Graz 2020 GHG Balance – Final Version

Screens	-38%	+4%	-35%
Beamers and projectors	-68%	+174%	-13%
Internal server	-	-	-
Mobile phones	-27%	+143%	+78%
Laser printers with toner and			
inkjet printers	-37%	+41%	-11%
Multifunctional devices with			
toner	-37%	+41%	-11%
Total			-24%

Table 18: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – IT equipment



3.2.4 Additional canteen module

At TU Graz, the additional module *Canteen* is subdivided into the subcategories *electricity, district heating,* and *food.* The data for this module were provided by the *Buildings and Technical Support* organisational unit (electricity, district heating) and by *Österreichische Mensen Betriebsgesellschaft mbH* (food). The total emissions for this additional module amount to 181 tonnes of CO₂e for 2020, with the highest percentage of emissions coming from the *food* subcategory, followed by *electricity,* and finally *district heating.*



Figure 41: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Canteen (in %)





Figure 42: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Canteen

Comparison of 2020 and 2017

Compared to 2017, total emissions in the *Canteen* category fell from 220 tonnes of CO₂e to 181 tonnes of CO₂e in 2020. While emissions from electricity and food decreased, those from district heating consumption increased.



Figure 43: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Canteen, comparison of 2017 and 2020



The *electricity* subcategory *(without certification)* shows a reduction in emissions of around 30%; these can be attributed to a 10% reduction in consumption and a 21% reduction in the emission factor.

Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Canteen electricity			
			Emissions in
	Consumption	Emission factor in	tonnes of
	in kWh	kg CO ₂ e/kWh	CO ₂ e
2020	202,984	0.2030	41
2017	225,000	0.2573	58
Increase/decrease in %	-10%	-21%	-29%

Table 19: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Canteen electricity

District heating consumption and emissions increased in 2020 compared to 2017 (+50% emissions), although the emission factor fell by 11%. This change in consumption can also be explained by the fact that part of the canteen was closed for renovation work from January to May in 2017, resulting in lower district heating consumption.

Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Canteen district heating			
			Emissions in
	Consumption in	Emission factor in	tonnes of
	kWh	kg CO₂e/kWh	CO ₂ e
2020	112,471	0,3090	35
2017	66,000	0.3487	23
Increase/decrease in %	+70%	-11%	+51%

Table 20: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Canteen district heating

The biggest difference was recorded in the *food* subcategory. Emissions fell in 2020 by around 30%. This can be explained by the fact that the consumption of most foods dropped due to the pandemic. Only the consumption of poultry increased. The emission factors remained unchanged in the period of 2017 to 2020. It is particular important to note that the emission factor for beef is clearly the highest of all food groups at 13.3 kg CO_2e/kg .



Comparison of 2020 and 2017: Canteen food			
			Emissions in
	Consumption	Emission factor in	tonnes of
	in kg	kg CO₂e/kg	CO ₂ e
2020			
Beef	1,813	13.3000	24
Pork	1,768	5.5000	10
Poultry	9,834	3.2000	31
Fish	2,092	6.5000	14
Fats and oils	3,948	5.7592	23
Total	19,455		102
2017			
Beef	2,799	13.3000	37
Pork	5,063	5.5000	28
Poultry	6,616	3.2000	21
Fish	3,295	6.5000	21
Fats and oils	5,447	5.7592	31
Total	23,220		139
Increase/decrease in %			
Beef	-35%	0	-35%
Pork	-65%	0	-65%
Poultry	+49%	0	+49%
Fish	-36%	0	-36%
Fats and oils	-28%	0	-28%
Total			-27%

Table 21: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Canteen food

In 2020, the highest percentage of emissions in the *food* subcategory were caused by poultry consumption at 33%, followed by beef consumption and fats and oils at 23% each, fish at 13%, and finally pork at 8%.





Figure 44: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Canteen food groups (in %)

A comparison of 2020 and 2017 reveals the following picture in terms of the food groups:



Figure 45: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Food groups, comparison of 2017 and 2020



4. Key figures

Compared to 2017, TU Graz's key figures for 2020 are lower across the board. This is mainly due to the decline in emissions caused by the pandemic, but also due to a reduction in many emission factors.

The key figures were rounded off to one or two decimal places or to the nearest hundredth. The following table compares the key figures for both 2017 and 2020:

Key figures		
1. TU Graz electricity consumption (excl. heat		
pumps + charging stations; incl. PV) per staff		
	6 050	W/h por individual
2020	0,050	kwn per individual
2017	8,240	kwn per individual
2 TIL Graz electricity consumption (excl. heat		
pumps + charging stations; incl. PV) per staff		
member (FTE)		
2020	9,076	kWh per FTE
2017	12,130	kWh per FTE
3. TU Graz electricity consumption from own PV per		
staff member (FTE)		
2020	165	kWh per FTE
2017	52	kWh per FTE
4. TU Graz emissions from electricity (excl. heat		
pumps; Incl. PV) per staff member (FTE)	4 0 0 0	
2020	1,806	kg CO ₂ e per FTE
2017	3,120	kg CO ₂ e per FTE
5. TU Graz heat consumption (incl. heat pumps)		
	75	
2020	/5	kWh per m ²
2017	91	kWh per m ²
6. TU Graz emissions from heat per m ² net floor area heated		
2020	23	kg CO ₂ e per m ²
2017	21	kg CO ₂ e per m ²
12. TU Graz emissions per student		

2020	1 065	kg CO ₂ e per
	1,000	ka CO2e per
2017	1,630	individual
	, í	
13. TU Graz emissions per staff member (individual)		
		kg CO ₂ e per
2020	3,285	individual
		kg CO ₂ e per
2017	7,390	individual
14. TU Graz emissions per staff member (FTE)		
2020	4,928	kg CO₂e per FTE
2017	10,880	kg CO₂e per FTE
15. TU Graz emissions per m ² total net floor area		
2020	62	kg CO ₂ e per m ²
2017	114	kg CO ₂ e per m ²
16. TU Graz emissions per m ² net floor area heated		
2020	68	kg CO ₂ e per m ²
2017	126	kg CO ₂ e per m ²

Table 22: Key figures, comparison of 2020 and 2017

The following table with key figures on mobility was also created. Some of these relate to data from 2019, but where possible – as in the table above – data from the years of 2020 and 2017 were compared.

Key figures for mobility		
1. Modal split for TU Graz staff commuting in 2019,		
inbound and outbound commuters (Forstner 2021, p.		
65)		
On foot	13	%
Bicycle	46	%
Motorised individual transport (MIV)	21	%
Public transport (ÖPNV)	20	%
1a. Modal split for work commuters to the city of		
Graz in 2013/14, local and inbound commuters		
(Forstner 2021, p. 65, raw data: Österreich unterwegs		
2013/14)		
On foot	7	%
Bicycle	15	%
Motorised individual transport (MIV)	56	%

Public transport (ÖPNV)	22	%
2. Modal split for TU Graz students commuting in 2019, local and inbound commuters (Forstner 2021, p. 66)		
On foot	18	%
Bicvcle	49	%
Motorised individual transport (MIV)	7	%
Public transport (ÖPNV)	21	%
2a. Modal split for commuters travelling to educational institutions in the city of Graz in 2013/14, inbound and outbound commuters (Forstner 2021, p. 66, raw data: Österreich unterwegs 2013/14)		
On foot	11	%
Bicycle	19	%
Motorised individual transport (MIV)	22	%
Public transport (ÖPNV)	48	%
3. Modal split for business trips by total kilometres in 2018 (Forstner 2021, p. 41)		
Motorised individual transport (MIV)	5	%
Public transport (ÖPNV)	16	%
Air transport	79	%
4. Flight missions of staff (stays abroad and business trips) per staff member (individual)		
2020	275	kg CO₂e per capita
2017	1,392	kg CO ₂ e per capita
5. Parking spaces per staff member (individual), total 681 parking spaces		
2020	0.14	Parking spaces per capita
2017	0.17	Parking spaces per capita
6. Parking spaces per staff member (FTE), total 632 parking spaces		
2020	0.21	Parking spaces per FTE
2017	0.25	Parking spaces per FTE

Table 23: Key figures for mobility



5. Final recommendations based on the provisional 2020 balance (as of 28 February 2022)

Ultimately, it is important to point out once again that the 2020 balance presented here is a special one. 2020 was the first year of the coronavirus pandemic, in which university operations were temporarily restricted due to lockdowns. This aspect must be considered when interpreting the results, including the comparison with the 2017 GHG balance and future balances.

Based on the 2017 GHG balance, which serves as the reference balance for the "Climate-neutral TU Graz 2030" project, a series of measures were adopted by the Rectorate in August 2020 in an effort to make TU Graz climate-neutral by 2030 (TU Graz 2020). Therefore, recommendations based on this GHG balance only address aspects that are **not part** of the measures already adopted by TU Graz. The following proposals for further measures are made based on the 2020 GHG balance:

- 1. No emission-reducing measures have yet been decided upon or taken for the natural gas subcategory. As this subcategory was divided into natural gas heating and natural gas research in the current 2020 balance, it is clear that natural gas is primarily used for research at TU Graz (see section 4.2.1 Energy). Where possible, natural gas used for research should be replaced by green methane or green hydrogen. Natural gas used for research at TU Graz is primarily used at the Institute of Thermal Engineering and the LEC (Large Engines Competence Center). Of course, the needs of the clients and project managers of the respective research projects would need to be considered.
- 2. Proposals for mobility

As the mobility of staff and students contributes significantly to the TU Graz GHG emissions, and TU Graz can take effective action here by altering framework conditions, creating subsidies, etc., the existing measures to reduce emissions in the *Mobility* category could be supplemented.

a. Regarding commuting: Commuters who commute to Graz every day from outside the city often travel longer distances by car; thus, TU Graz could take further measures to promote the use of alternative transport. Promoting the Styrian climate ticket together with demanding the further expansion of Park&Ride and Bike&Ride options at railway stations near the commuters' homes, as well as promoting the use of e-bikes, could achieve the desired effects here. Ideally, a commute would look like this: By (e-)bike from home to the nearest train station, by train to Graz (possibly with bike transport), and finally by bike or tram and bus to TU Graz. Consideration should also be given to subsidising the purchase of folding bikes. In any case, commuting routes should be analysed for



staff who have access to the TU Graz car parks to encourage more use of public transport.

b. Regarding business trips: The most common destination for staff travelling by car on business trips in 2020 was Vienna (see Appendix 4). As the majority of these journeys could also be made by public transport, the business travel policy could be adapted, e.g. so that cars can be used to transport heavy goods or if no reasonable public transport connection is available, but otherwise public transport should be used. Another way to reduce car travel would be to establish a rule that business trips should always be carried out using rented cars or company cars (e-cars from *Family of Power*). TU Graz could also encourage the use of a taxi from the train station near a business trip destination to travel the last few kilometres if this destination is difficult or impossible to reach by public transport.

The most common destinations for business trips by plane in 2020 include many destinations in Europe. TU Graz could encourage the use of (night) trains to the most common destinations (e.g. Berlin and Brussels) particularly strongly and, in dialogue with the ÖBB, advocate for more offers for TU Graz related to the most important routes. According to estimates, the flight from Graz to Vienna is a frequently used part of the route for TU Graz staff continuing on subsequent longhaul flights. Measures could be taken here to make travelling to and from Vienna Airport by train more attractive, e.g. through agreements with ÖBB so that rail services between Graz and Vienna are also well developed at off-peak times.

- c. Regarding stays abroad: When travelling abroad, climate-friendly rail travel within Europe could be promoted for both staff and students, e.g. by subsidising day and night train tickets or Interrail tickets. The subsidies for staff could be modelled on the subsidies for business trips. Funding for students could be organised in addition to the funding for sustainable travel for stays abroad from "Erasmus+ Green".
- 3. Another proposal relates to IT equipment. The roadmap for the Climate Neutral TU Graz 2030 project already states that the minimum utilisation period for IT equipment should be increased to 6 years (TU Graz 2020). To extend the useful lifetime, if necessary, equipment could be procured that can be repaired more easily. For example, TU Graz could offer the *Fairphone* as a company mobile phone. The *Fairphone* advertises the fact that defective parts can be replaced quickly and easily, which significantly extends the useful lifetime of a device. The *Fairphone* company also pays attention to fair and sustainable procurement of the materials used, as well as to social aspects of production. The manufacturer is planning a presentation on the *Fairphone*'s



emissions (Fairphone 2020). The use of the *Fairphone* as a work mobile phone at TU Graz would have a strongly symbolic effect, illustrating a commitment to greater sustainability, and offer benefits due to the extended service life.

Another option would be to purchase equipment that already has been used and refurbished ("refurbed"). However, how exactly the CO₂e savings can be calculated and how long the equipment could then still be used by TU Graz would need to be considered.

- 4. To calculate the emissions from building construction projects and renovations, for example, a student dissertation could be assigned to examine TU Graz area B (maintenance) in more detail. This would allow the emissions from this category to be more precisely assessed, and specifically those related to TU Graz.
- 5. To achieve the goal of climate neutrality by 2030, students could also be more closely involved, e.g. via the HTU. The HTU could be supported in further expanding its sustainable projects, such as the cargo bike hiring scheme or the urban gardening project, and in promoting the application of new ideas from students.
- 6. To review the success of the measures, a rapid assessment ("monitoring") should be performed annually at TU Graz. Results for categories in which the data can be collected quickly through processes that are partially automated (e.g. data for energy, business trips, refrigerants) could be accurately produced, while the remaining data could be taken from the last complete balance. This would provide an even clearer picture of the development of GHG emissions at TU Graz. This measure was implemented for the first time in 2021 in consultation with of the *Buildings and Technical Support* organisational unit.
- Another area that is not currently included in TU Graz's GHG balance is the possibility of investing financial resources sustainably. In this way, TU Graz could also promote ecological and ethical progress through its financial investments and – at least indirectly – reduce GHG emissions.
- 8. TU Graz could also take further measures to improve sustainable procurement. To this end, a strategy for procuring sustainable goods, such as office materials, could be developed in cooperation with the TU Graz Central Purchasing Service, or the strategy of the *Alliance for Sustainable Universities in Austria* could be declared as binding.



6. List of figures and tables

6.1 List of illustrations

Figure 1: Global warming since 1880 (Our World In Data a))6
Figure 2: Comparison of per capita CO2 emissions (Our World In Data b))7
Figure 3: Scope categories defined in ClimCalc (Alliance for Sustainable Universities
in Austria 2021a)8
Figure 4: Overview of main and subcategories of the TU Graz 2020 GHG balance 9
Figure 5: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by main categories: Total 15,200 tonnes of
CO ₂ e12
Figure 6: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by main categories: Comparison of 2017 and
2020
Figure 7: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by subcategory: Total 15,200 tonnes of CO ₂ e
Figure 8: TU Graz 2017 GHG balance by subcategory: Total 24,100 tonnes of CO ₂ e
Figure 9: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by subcategory: Comparison of 2017 and
2020
Figure 10: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by subcategories, extending up to a
maximum of 1,200 tonnes of CO2e (excluding electricity and district
heating)
Figure 11: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by subcategories up to a maximum of 1,200
tonnes of CO2e (excluding electricity, district heating and business
trips): Comparison of 2017 and 2020 17
Figure 12: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Energy (in %) 18
Figure 13: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Energy 19
Figure 14: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Energy, comparison of 2017 and 2020 20
Figure 15: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Mobility (in %) 25
Figure 16: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Mobility 25
Figure 17: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Staff commuting 27
Figure 18: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Student commuting 27



Figure 19: Comparison of modal split for the work commute according to the main	
mode of transport used by inbound and outbound commuters, to capit	tal
cities, and at TU Graz (Forstner 2021, p. 65; raw data: Österreich	
unterwegs 2013/14)	28
Figure 20: Comparison of modal split for local commuters according to the main	
mode of transport used by local commuters, to capital cities and at TU	J
Graz (Forstner, p. 69; raw data: Österreich unterwegs 2013/14)	29
Figure 21: Comparison of the modal split for commuters to reach educational	
institutions by main mode of transport used by inbound and outbound	
commuters, for capital cities, and at TU Graz (Forstner 2021, p. 66; ra	w
data: Österreich unterwegs 2013/14)	30
Figure 22: Comparison of the modal split for local commuters to reach educational	
institutions by the main mode of transport used, for capital cities, and	at
TU Graz (Forstner, p. 70; raw data: Österreich unterwegs 2013/14)	30
Figure 23: Modal split for family home journeys by staff by total kilometres travelled	in
2019 (Forstner 2021, p. 40)	31
Figure 24: Modal split for students' family home journeys by total kilometres travelle	ed
in 2019 (Forstner 2021, p. 41)	32
Figure 25: Emissions from family home journeys by staff in 2019 in tonnes of CO_2e	
(Forstner 2021, p. 42)	33
Figure 26: Emissions from family home journeys by students in 2019 in tonnes of	
CO ₂ e (Forstner 2021, p. 43)	34
Figure 27: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Business trips	34
Figure 28: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Staff stays abroad	36
Figure 29: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Student stays abroad	36
Figure 30: Modes of transport used for stays abroad in the academic year 2020/21	(in
%, staff and students together)	
	37
Figure 31: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Mobility, comparison of 2017 and 2020	37 38
Figure 31: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Mobility, comparison of 2017 and 2020 Figure 32: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Staff commuting, comparison of 2017 and	37 38 1
Figure 31: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Mobility, comparison of 2017 and 2020 Figure 32: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Staff commuting, comparison of 2017 and 2020	37 38 1 39
 Figure 31: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Mobility, comparison of 2017 and 2020 Figure 32: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Staff commuting, comparison of 2017 and 2020 Figure 33: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Student commuting, comparison of 2017 	37 38 1 39
Figure 31: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Mobility, comparison of 2017 and 2020 Figure 32: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Staff commuting, comparison of 2017 and 2020 Figure 33: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Student commuting, comparison of 2017 and 2020	37 38 1 39 41
 Figure 31: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Mobility, comparison of 2017 and 2020 Figure 32: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Staff commuting, comparison of 2017 and 2020 Figure 33: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Student commuting, comparison of 2017 and 2020 Figure 34: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Business trips, comparison of 2017 and 	37 38 1 39 41

Figure 35: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Staff stays abroad, comparison of 2017	and
2020	44
Figure 36: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Student stays abroad, comparison of 20)17
and 2020	46
Figure 37: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Vehicle fleet, comparison of 2017 and 2	020
	48
Figure 38: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Material use (in %)	49
Figure 39: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Material use	50
Figure 40: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Material use, comparison of 2017 and 2	2020
	51
Figure 41: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Canteen (in %)	56
Figure 42: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Canteen	57
Figure 43: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance - Canteen, comparison of 2017 and 2020	. 57
Figure 44: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Food in the canteen category (in %)	60
Figure 45: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Food, comparison of 2017 and 2020	60
Figure 46: TU Graz 2020 GHG balance – Buildings Fehler! Textmarke n	iicht
definiert.	

6.2 List of tables

Table 1: TU Graz net floor area in 2020	. 10
Table 2: Number of staff and students at TU Graz in 2020	. 10
Table 3: Number of staff and students at TU Graz in 2017	. 10
Table 4: Summarised calculation results for the TU Graz 2020 GHG balance by	
scope category (in kg CO ₂ equivalents)	. 17
Table 5: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Electricity	. 22
Table 6: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Natural gas	. 22
Table 7: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – District heating	. 23
Table 8: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 - Fuel applications research	. 24
Table 9: Modes of transport by passenger kilometres (pkm), business trips, 2020	. 35
Table 10: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Staff commuting	. 40
Table 11: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Student commuting	. 42
Table 12: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Business trips	. 44
Table 13: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Staff stays abroad	. 45



Table 14: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Student stays abroad	. 46
Table 15: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Vehicle fleet	. 48
Table 16: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Paper	. 52
Table 17: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Refrigerants	. 53
Table 18: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – IT equipment	. 55
Table 19: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Canteen electricity	. 58
Table 20: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 - Canteen district heating	58
Table 21: Comparison of 2020 and 2017 – Canteen food	. 59
Table 22 : Key figures, comparison of 2020 and 2017	. 62
Table 23 : Key figures for mobility	. 63



7. Bibliography

- Allianz Nachhaltige Universitäten in Österreich 2021a. "Arbeitsgruppe ,Klimaneutrale Universitäten & Hochschulen". Factsheet Systemgrenzen auf Webseite der Allianz Nachhaltige Universitäten in Österreich. Online verfügbar: <u>http://nachhaltigeuniversitaeten.at/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Factsheet-</u> <u>Systemgrenzen.pdf</u> Aufgerufen am: 17.9.21
- Allianz Nachhaltige Universitäten in Österreich 2021b. "Arbeitsgruppe ,Klimaneutrale Universitäten & Hochschulen". Webseite der Allianz Nachhaltige Universitäten in Österreich. Online verfügbar: <u>http://nachhaltigeuniversitaeten.at/arbeitsgruppen/co2-neutrale-universitaeten/</u> Aufgerufen am: 13.9.21
- Allianz Nachhaltige Universitäten in Österreich 2022. "*ClimCalc,* Version climcalc_v2.5_EF2017". Webseite der Allianz Nachhaltige Universitäten in Österreich. Online verfügbar: <u>https://nachhaltigeuniversitaeten.at/arbeitsgruppen/co2-neutrale-</u> <u>universitaeten/</u> Aufgerufen am: 01.05.24
- Allianz Nachhaltige Universitäten in Österreich 2022. "*ClimCalc,* Version climcalc_v3.1.3_EF2020". Webseite der Allianz Nachhaltige Universitäten in Österreich. Online verfügbar: <u>https://nachhaltigeuniversitaeten.at/arbeitsgruppen/co2-neutrale-universitaeten/</u> Aufgerufen am: 01.05.24
- BiDok 2010-2020. Excelliste zu m2 Nettogrundfläche an der TU Graz pro Jahr. Erhalten von OE Gebäude und Technik. 29.11.21
- Fairphone 2020. "Fairphone's Impact 2020" *A challenge to the industry*. Online verfügbar: <u>https://www.fairphone.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Fairphone_Impact-</u> <u>report_2020.pdf</u> Aufgerufen am: 20.9.21
- Forstner, Jürgen 2021. "Vergleich der Mobilität zwischen der TU Graz und österreichischen Städten". Masterarbeit vorgelegt am Institut für Straßen- und Verkehrswesen der TU Graz.

Getzinger, Günter; Schmitz, Domini; Mohnke, Sascha; Steinwender, David; Lindenthal, Thomas 2019. "Treibhausgasbilanz von Universitäten in Österreich" *Methode und Ergebnisse der Bilanzierung und Strategien zur Reduktion der Treibhausgasemissionen. In*: GAIA 28/4 (2019): 389-391 Online verfügbar: <u>https://boku.ac.at/fileadmin/data/H05000/H13000/GAIA/GAIA 4 19 Treibhausgasbila</u> <u>nz_von_Universitaeten_in_OEsterreich_Getzinger_et_al..pdf</u> Aufgerufen am: 13.9.21

GuT 2020. "Flächen Energie 2020 10 01". Excel-Sheet der GuT (Gebäude und Technik)

IPCC 2018. "Summary for Policymakers". *In*: Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the



global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty. [Masson- Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma- Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J.B.R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M.I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (eds.)]. In Press. Online verfügbar: https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/sites/2/2019/05/SR15_SPM_version_report_L R.pdf Aufgerufen am: 16.4.21

- Kellner, Barbara; Korunka, Christian; Kubicek, Bettina; Wolfsberger, Juliana 2020. "Wie COVID-19 das Arbeiten in Österreich verändert" *Flexible Working Studie 2020*. Hrsg.: Deloitte Consulting GmbH. Online verfügbar: <u>https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/at/Documents/presse/Deloitte-Flexible-Working-Studie-2020.pdf</u> Aufgerufen am: 27.9.21
- Nagy, Lukas 2024. "Mobilitätsmanagement der Technischen Universität Graz Einrichtung eines Dashboards". Bachelorarbeit eingereicht an der TU Graz, in Druck.
- ÖSG 2012. Ökostromgesetz 2012 § 5 Abs. 1 Ziffer 22. Online verfügbar: https://www.jusline.at/gesetz/oesg_2012/paragraf/5 Aufgerufen am: 27.9.21
- Österreich Unterwegs 2013/14. "Österreich unterwegs 2013/2014: Methodenbericht zum Arbeitspaket ,Datenverarbeitung, Hochrechnung und Analyse" Online verfügbar: <u>https://www.bmk.gv.at/dam/jcr:106bc97e-b03f-4e38-9c6b-bf57680616dc/oeu 2013-</u> 2014 Methodenbericht AP Datenverarbeitung-Hochrechnung-Analyse.pdf
- Österreichisches Umweltzeichen 2018. "Grüner Strom" *Richtlinie UZ 46*. Ausgabe vom 1. Jänner 2018, geändert mit 1. Juli 2019 und 1. Jänner 2021. Online verfügbar: <u>https://www.umweltzeichen.at/file/Richtlinie/UZ%2046/Long/Uz46_R5.2a_Richtlinie_Gruener%20Strom_2018.pdf</u> Aufgerufen am: 29.10.21
- Our World In Data a). "Average temperature anomaly, Global". Webseite von *Our World In Data*. Online verfügbar: <u>https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/temperature-anomaly?country=~Global</u> Aufgerufen am: 27.9.21
- Our World In Data b). "Per Capita CO₂ Emissions". Webseite von *Our World In Data*. Online verfügbar: <u>https://ourworldindata.org/co2/country/austria?country=USA~DEU~AUT~CHN~IND#c</u> <u>itation</u> Aufgerufen am: 27.9.21
- TU Graz 2020. Rektoratsbeschluss "Roadmap Klimaneutrale TU Graz 2030". Antragsdatum: 28.08.2020

WIR (World Resources Institute) und WBCSD (World Business Council for Sustainable Development) 2004. "The Greenhouse Gas Protocol" A corporate accounting and reporting standard. Revised Edition. Washington, D.C.: WRI, WBCSD. Online


verfügbar: <u>https://ghgprotocol.org/sites/default/files/standards/ghg-protocol-revised.pdf</u> Aufgerufen am: 13.9.21

Wissensbilanz 2020. "Wissensbilanz 2020" *Vom Universitätsrat der TU Graz genehmigt im April 2021*. Hrsg. Der Rektor Harald Kainz. Online verfügbar: <u>https://www.tugraz.at/fileadmin/public/Studierende und Bedienstete/Information/TU Graz/Wissenbilanzen/Wissensbilanz TU Graz 2020.pdf</u> Aufgerufen am: 13.9.21