

Differential phase contrast scanning transmission electron microscopy (DPC-STEM)

What it is good for and why it is hyped within the community

Thomas Mairhofer

Supervisors: Ferdinand Hofer, Gerald Kothleitner

DocDay 03.10.2022







Electron Microscopy and the information one can usually gain

Differential Phase Contrast STEM

Chemical and magnetic structure of spinodal magnetic alloys $Cu_{52}Ni_{34}Fe_{14}$ $Fe_{54}Cr_{31}Co_{15}$

Multiferroic (doped) Bismuth Ferrite structure, polarization domains and vacancies





Electron Microscopy





















1

Differential Phase Contrast (DPC)-STEM

Open questions:

What about imaging electromagnetic fields?

How to image light elements?

Magnetic domains 1978 [3]



Electric field 2012 [4]

Carbon A Som Som Som Carbon A E E E V OW Skyrmion lattice [8]



Atomic Electric fields 2012 [5]

DPC (X-Y)

[8] Shibata et al. Accounts of chemical research 50 (2017)





STO [6]





y 3 (1978) (2012) [6] Shibata et al. *Nat. Commun.* 8 (2017) [7] De Graaf et al. *Science Advances* 6 (2020)



[3] Chapman et al. Ultramicroscopy 3 (1978)
[4] Lohr et al. Ultramicroscopy 117 (2012)
[5] Shibata et al. Nature Physics 8 (2012)

Principles of DPC-STEM



Classical approach

Thin specimen -> Phase object approx. $\psi_{out}(r,t) = \psi_{in}(r,t) \cdot e^{i\varphi}$

Shift = movement of CoM

$$I^{CoM} = \langle \hat{p} \rangle = \int k I(k, r_p) d^2 k = \int k |\psi(k, r_p)|^2 d^2 k$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(|\psi_{in}(\vec{r})|^2 * \nabla \phi(\vec{r}) \right) \left(\overrightarrow{r_p} \right) \quad [9]$$

DPC = approximation to CoM $I^{DPC} \approx I^{CoM} \propto \nabla \phi$

Phase of electron wave

$$F_{Lorentz} = q(\vec{E} + (\vec{v} \times \vec{B}))$$

$$\beta_{mag} = -\frac{e\lambda}{h}Bs \qquad \beta_{elec} = \frac{e\lambda}{h\nu}Es \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{k}\nabla\varphi \qquad \varphi = \frac{e}{h\nu}\int V \,ds - \frac{e}{h}\int \vec{B} \,d\vec{S}$$



[9] Lazic, Bosch and Lazar, Ultramicroscopy 160 (2016)

FELMI-ZFE

Sketch of a 4-quadrant detector

which is used in this work

Signal detection of DPC

Example: Annular detector divided into 4 quadrants

Difference signal of opposing segments used to determine center of mass

2D deflection vector of every scanned position measured

Relation of deflection vector and field vectors known

Colorwheel representation a possibility to display 2D vectors: direction of vector plotted as function of hue (Farbton)



DPCx = -By







CuNiFe / spinodal alloys

Spinodal Decomposition



Advanteges of spinodal decomposition:

Uniform microstructure

Same crystal structure for both phases (at least in the beginning) ,slow' process

Adds flexibility to tune physical properties (hardening, magnetism)

AlNiCo [13]





FeCoNiMnCu - HEA [15]



[15] Rao et al. Advanced Functional Materials 7 (2020)



8

[12] Findik Materials and Design 42 (2012)
[13] Zhou et al. Acta Materialia 153 (2018)
[14] Butler and Thomas Acta Metallurgica 18 (1970)

Cu₅₂Ni₃₄Fe₁₄ - chemical microstructure

EDXS elemental maps



Spinodal decomposition @ 625°C

Segregation into NiFe-rich and Curich phases

Platelet-like shape of NiFe-rich phase

Platelets along the <100> crystallographic directions

Size of platelets dependend on the heating duration





$Cu_{52}Ni_{34}Fe_{14}$ - DPC





All aged CuNiFe alloys show ferromagnetism due to the formation of NiFe-rich platelets

DPC difference images reveal block-like domain structure

DPC induction map (colorwheel rep.) reveals the magnetization vectors within a domain along the diagonals

<111> was found to be the magnetic easy axis



[16] Radlinger et al. Journal of alloys and compounds 922 (2022)



¹² Fe₅₄Cr₃₁Co₁₅ - chemical structure



EDXS quant.	Fe / at%	Cr / at%	Co / at%
Overall	54	31	15
FeCo-rich	69	8	23
Cr-rich	36	57	7

HAADF image reveals three different phases due to different contrast (Z-contrast).

EDXS elemental maps reveal FeCo-rich particles embedded in a Cr-rich matrix

Cuboid FeCo-rich particles show tendency to align edges along the <100> crystallographic directions

Al/Si/Mn-Oxide inclusions due to additive manufacturing and *in-situ* alloying process





¹³ Fe₅₄Cr₃₁Co₁₅ - magnetic structure



Sample #	Laser Power / W	Energy Density / J/cm²	Homoge nisation	Heat treat ment	µ₀H _c (kA/m)	μ ₀ Μ _r (T)	BH _{max} (kJ/m³)
1	240	400	No	640HT	22.29	0.42	0.08
2	240	400	1100°C	640HT	19.10	0.47	0.08
3	240	400	1100°C	510HT	0.80	0.10	0.08

lamellar shaped magnetic domain structure visible

Several FeCo-particles couple to form domains

Weak correlation between chemical and magnetic structure

Tendency of magnetization pointing along the <100> directions. (in agreement to literature of FeCo-rich phase)





14 Bismuth Ferrite

Structure model of BiFeO₃ (BFO)



ferroelectric + antiferromagnetic pseudocubic crystal structure doping can change physical properties. Can we use STEM (DPC) to map it?

High resolution images of BFO on SRO/STO substrate







¹⁵ doped BFO (BCFMO)

Specimen:

co-doped BFO with Ca and Mg - $Bi_{0.8}Ca_{0.2}Fe_{0.95}Mg_{0.05}O_3$



Doping causes bright layers

Lattice enlargement, EELS/EDXS elemental maps and DFT calculations revealed formation of a secondary BO-phase

FELMI-ZFE

[18] Haselmann, Radlinger et al. J. Phys. Chem. C 126 (2022)

HAADF + EDXS elemental maps





Mapping polarization domains of BCFMO

Imaging the ferroelectric polarization



Determining the ferroelectric polarization either by the displacement of Fe atoms or with DPC

Sudden color change in DPC image indicates polarization domainwall at BOsecondary plate

Filtering of DPC images enhances contrast

Matching results of displacement/DPC analysis







O-vacancies within BCFMO

Cutout of an iDPC image







17



Summary

DPC STEM is a very intriguing microscopy technique, capable of imaging electromagnetic fields.

By combining DPC STEM with other well known imaging and spectroscopic techniques new insights into the relationship of chemical structure and physical properties can be gained

E.g. magnetic domain structure of spinodal alloys

iDPC ,new' technique solving the problem of imaging light elements

Also defects can be imaged – maybe also useful for quantification?







20

Acknowledgement



TU Graz:

Felmi-ZfE:	F. Hofer, M. Dienstleder, D. Knez, G. Haberfehlner, J. Lammer, M. Nachtnebel, R. Winkler, H. Plank, G. Kothleitner			
IMAT:	S. Arneitz, Prof. C. Sommitsch			
KFU Graz:	P. Knoll, S. Topolovec (TU)			
Univ. Regensburg:	Prof. J. Zweck			
Univ. Leoben:	J. Lie, U. Haselmann, Z. Zhang			
ThermoFisherScientific:	D. Klenov, S. Lazar			











FELMI-ZFE

Appendix







EELS



Measurements / results of Judith Lammer [1]



2

[1] Lammer et al. *Ultramicroscopy* 234 (2022)[2] Albu et al. *Additive Manufacturing* 36 (2020)

Tomography:

Mg Mg+0 Ti (+B) Ag (+Mg) Cu

In-situ:





Measurements / results by Georg Haberfehlner



Measurements / results of Mihaela Albu [2]



Examples of magnetic specimen

(h)

,Visualizing' the movement of the e-beam













(c) y





Magnetic DPC tilt-series of polycrystalline thin Co-film



FELMI-ZFE



Integrated DPC



Image from Müller et al. [11]

Near an atomic column, the electrostatic potential is not homogeneous across the diameter of the electron beam!

Rigid-disk-shift model inappropriate and QM wave approach to calculate $\langle \hat{p} \rangle$ is necessary



The iDPC image is a direct map of the electrostat. Potential

The dDPC image is a direct map of the charge density distr.







AFM/MFM principle

 $\begin{array}{l} Cu_{52}Ni_{34}Fe_{14} - \\ MFM \end{array}$



* Image from: https://blog.brukerafmprobes.com/guideto-spm-and-afm-modes/magnetic-force-microscopymfm/

3D height with MFM-phase overlay MFM signal complimentary to

(c)

20.0 nm

DPC results

Flat surface; magnetic signal

Ferromagnetic domain structure visible

DPC results representable for whole magnetic domain structure





MFM-phase

(a)

Challenges of DPC measurements

Dynamical scattering effects (diffraction contrast, channeling effects)

Topographic effects that may lead to misinterpretation (wedge, contaminations, $\varphi = \varphi(\mathbf{r})$)

Stray fields, e.g. magnetic stray fields of lenses, ~10 kA/m (100-150 Oe) along optic axis







Stability of microscope

Superposition of electric and magnetic fields



18



¹⁹ Challenges of DPC

DPC very sensitive to abberations

Thickness limitations (POA valid for few nm!)

Image simulations (multi-slice) needed to understand contrast of ,thick' specimens

Limitations due to 4-quadrant detector – signal across one segment gets summed up – information about distribution within segment ist lost

,Limited' to post-acquisition processing





0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 1.25 1.50 1.75 2.00 Normalized spatial frequency

Image from Ooe et al. [21]



