

Case study: the economic and environmental assessment of selected Biomass-to-Fischer Tropsch (FT) diesel chains in the EU

> Nadine Gürer*, Frank Radosits, Amela Ajanovic, Reinhard Haas Energy Economics Group (EEG), Vienna University of Technology (TU Wien)

> > Energy Innovation Symposium, TU Graz, 18.2.2022



- European Green Deal:
 - Reducing GHG emissions by at least 55% by 2030 and 90% by 2050 compared to 1990 levels (EU Commission 2019)
 - Rendering Europe the world's first climate-neutral continent by 2050 (EEA 2021)
- Transition towards a sustainable energy & transport system + increase of market share of biofuels in EU transport sector necessary to reach Green Deal mandates
- EU Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy (Mobility and Transport 2022)
- Recast of the EU Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) (EU Parliament & Council 2018)

Introduction & Motivation II

Finergy conomics roup

- Promotion of biofuels in transport sector through carbon tax (€/ton CO₂) as regulating instrument
- Decarbonization of transport sector through carbon neutral technologies, e.g. electric vehicles powered with renewable energy or bio-based fuels
 - Previously: GHG emission reduction through blending mandates, e.g. bioethanol with gasoline (E10)
 - Superior environmental sustainability of 2nd generation biofuels (BF-2)

Selected biomass-to-FT diesel chains have a high potential as alternative fuel due to

- a) increased ecological performance (lower life-cycle carbon emissions, no associated landuse- changes)
- b) financial competitiveness (economies of scale)





(1) To determine and compare the present¹ economic and environmental performance of the following four Biomass-to-Liquid (BtL) fuel chains and conventional diesel:

 (a) Forestry wood-to- FT diesel
→ Data based on previous study by Ajanovic et al. 2012 "The long-term prospects of biofuels in the EU-15 countries" (b) Straw-to- FT diesel
→ Data based on previous study by Ajanovic et al. 2012 "The long-term prospects of biofuels in the EU-15 countries"

 (c) Pine forest residue-to- FT diesel
→ Recent data based on EU Horizon 2020 Chemical
Looping Gasification for Sustainable Production of Biofuels (CLARA²) project

(d) Wheat straw-to- FT diesel → Recent data based on EU Horizon 2020 CLARA² project

Conventional diesel

(2) To provide an outlook for the expected economic and environmental performances of the above mentioned BtL fuel chains and conventional diesel for 2030 and 2050

¹for the year 2020

²This work has received funding of the European Union's Horizon 2020-Research and Innovation Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 817841 (Chemical Looping gasification foR sustainAble production of biofuels-CLARA).

Method of Approach



Economic analysis:

$$C_{total} = \frac{P_{FS}}{n_{ref}} + \frac{IC.\alpha}{T} + \frac{C_{0\&M}}{T} + R_{SP}$$

where:

EC.....Energy content [kWh/ton FS]

FS.... Feedstock

P_{FS}.....price FS [€/ton FS]

IC.....investment costs [€/kW]

n.....efficiency of refinery

C_{O&M}.....∑operation & maintenance, transport, labor, electricity, heat etc. [€/Kw]

R_{SP}.... Revenues side-products

T.... full load hours [h/yr]

Environmental analysis:

$$CO_{2_{SP}} = n_{FS} \cdot CO_{2 \text{ input feedstock}} + CO_{2 \text{ input biofuel}}$$

where:

 $\eta_{\text{FS}}.....Feedstock$ conversion efficiency

 $CO_{2 input feedstock}$ ΣCO_{2} (passive/sink, fertilizer, fuel_{feedstock}, fuel_{transport}) [kg CO₂/ kg FS] $CO_{2 input biofuel}$... ΣCO_{2} (credit_{by-products}, pressing, BF conv., other WTT, transp._{fill. stat.}, TTW) [kg CO₂/kg BF]

Abbreviations: WTT... well-to-tank, TTW...tank-to-wheel

Economic Assessment, BtL fuel chains (a) & (b)



Fig. 1. Segmented total production costs for forest wood-to-FT diesel & strawto-FT diesel chains incl. CO_2 taxes for 2020 (based on Ajanovic et al. 2012) compared to corresponding Diesel price (EUR/kWh) for the EU

*for the year 2020 Abbreviations: TPC... total production cost, FT-D_FW...FT-diesel produced from forest wood, FT-D_S... FT-diesel produced from straw

Economic Assessment, BtL fuel chains (c) & (d)



Fig. 2. Segmented total production costs for wheat straw-to-FT diesel & pine forest residues-to-FT diesel chains incl. CO_2 taxes for 2020 (based on CLARA project and Ajanovic et al. 2012) compared to corresponding Diesel price (\in/kWh) for the EU

Economic Assessment, 2030 & 2050 Outlook





Fig. 3. Segmented total production costs scenarios for forest wood-to-FT diesel & strawto-FT diesel chains incl. CO_2 taxes for 2030 and 2050 (based on Ajanovic et al. 2012) compared to corresponding Diesel prices (EUR/kWh) for the EU

Where FT-D_S and FT-D_FW signify FT diesel obtained from straw and forest wood, respectively, * Ajanovic et al (2012)

Environmental Assessment





Fig. 4. CO_2 balances for forest wood-to-FT diesel & straw-to-FT diesel chains for 2020, 2030 and 2050 (based on Ajanovic et al. 2012) compared to corresponding Diesel CO_2 (TTW emissions) for the EU

Where FT-D_S and FT-D_FW signify FT diesel obtained from straw and forest wood, respectively, * Ajanovic et al (2012)

Outlook, selected major literature



Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery (2021) 11:2281–2292 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-019-00459-5

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Fischer-Tropsch products from biomass-derived syngas and renewable hydrogen

Hannes Gruber^{1,2} • Peter Groß¹ • Reinhard Rauch^{2,3} • Alexander Reichhold¹ • Richard Zweiler⁴ • Christian Aichernig⁵ • Stefan Müller⁶ • Nabeel Ataimisch⁷ • Hermann Hofbauer^{1,2}

Received: 29 March 2019 / Revised: 31 May 2019 / Accepted: 4 June 2019 / Published online: 22 June 2019 \odot The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Global climate change will make it necessary to transform transf sustainable, flexible, and dynamic sector. A severe reduction of f be necessary to keep global warning below 2 °C above prein increase the share of renewable fuel consumed until alternative p the share of renewables in the power generation sector grows we by fluctuating renewable sources is going to grow alike. The * electricity combined with biomass-based fuel production. Surplu The fluctuating H₂ source is combined with biomass-derived (Fischer-Tropsch synthesis converts the syngas to renewable hy performed and presents new insights regarding the effects of lo paigns were carried out, and performance-indicating paramete productivity were evaluated. The experiments showed that inte₁ concept could increase the productivity while product distributic ment performed indicates good preconditions towards commerc

Keywords Fischer-Tropsch synthesis $\cdot \operatorname{BtL}$ $\cdot \operatorname{Energy}$ storage $\cdot \operatorname{Ex}$



Check for

Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 88 (2018) 160-175



Techno-economic and uncertainty analysis of Biomass to Liquid (BTL) systems for transport fuel production

Ioanna Dimitriou^{a,*}, Harry Goldingay^b, Anthony V. Bridgwater^c

^a Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Facally of Engineering, University of Notitivpham, Notiingham NG7 2RD, UK Aston University, Birmingham 14 / 2FT, UK nd Applied Science, Aston University, Birmingham 14 / 2FT, UK

BSTRACT



is work examines the technical and economic feasibility of Biomass-To-Liquid (BTL) processes for the manscture of liquid hydrocarbon fuels. Six BTL s ygen gasification of woody biomass, and s tion systems. Three fuel synthesis technolo Renewable a

and systems. Three there synthesis technicity in followed by Methanoli to Gasoline (MTG Published modelling studies of BTL system d production costs to assess exenomic via erratury of key parameters on the fuel p alstic chance (8-14%) of concepts based on at this probability could be increased to 50 that deterministic estimates may be syste The overall energy efficiency and produced fur V and C17.88-25.41 per GJ of Produced fur

:orporates CFB gasification and FT synthes

sign indicate that a BTL process is not yet ction costs are approximately 8% higher th

ssible in the long term through subsidies, c



"Drop-in" fuel production from biomass: Critical review on techno-economic feasibility and sustainability

Hannah Kargbo, Jonathan Stuart Harris, Anh N. Phan

School of Engineering, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, United Kingdon

ARTICLEINFO ABSTRACT

Keywords: Biomass "Drop-in" fuels Techno-economic analysis Life cycle assessment Conversion technologies



. .

sciences

Article

Process Control Strategies in Chemical Looping Gasification—A Novel Process for the Production of Biofuels Allowing for Net Negative CO₂ Emissions

Paul Dieringer * 💿, Falko Marx, Falah Alobaid, Jochen Ströhle 💿 and Bernd Epple

Institute for Energy Systems & Technology, Technical University Darmstadt, Otto-Berndt-Str. 2, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany; falko.marx@est.tu-darmstadt.de (F.M.); falah.alobaid@est.tu-darmstadt.de (F.A.); jochen.stroehle@est.tu-darmstadt.de (J.S.); bernd.epple@est.tu-darmstadt.de (B.E.) * Correspondence: paul.dieringer@est.tu-darmstadt.de; Tel.: +49-6151-16-22692

Received: 20 May 2020; Accepted: 12 June 2020; Published: 22 June 2020

check for updates

Abstract: Chemical looping gasification (CLG) is a novel gasification technique, allowing for the production of a nitrogen-free high calorific synthesis gas from solid hydrocarbon feedstocks, without requiring a costly air separation unit. Initial advances to better understand the CLG technology were made during first studies in lab and bench scale units and through basic process simulations. Yet, tailored process control strategies are required for larger CLG units, which are not equipped with auxiliary heating. Here, it becomes a demanding task to achieve autothermal CLG operation, for which stable reactor temperatures are obtained. This study presents two avenues to attain autothermal CLG behavior, established through equilibrium based process simulations. As a first approach, the dilution of active oxygen carrier materials with inert heat carriers to limit oxygen transport to the fuel reactor has been investigated. Secondly, the suitability of restricting the air flow to the air reactor in order to control the oxygen availability in the fuel reactor was examined. Process simulations show that both process control approaches facilitate controlled and de-coupled heat and oxygen transport between the two reactors of the chemical looping gasifier, thus allowing for efficient autothermal CLG operation. With the aim of inferring general guidelines on how CLG units have to be operated in order to achieve decent synthesis gas yields, different advantages and disadvantages associated to the two suggested process control strategies are discussed in detail and optimization avenues are presented.

Keywords: chemical looping; biomass gasification; process control; process simulation

Conclusions





Fig. 5. Total production cost scenarios for forest wood-to-FT diesel (a), pine forest residue-to-FT diesel (c), straw-to-FT diesel (b) and wheat straw-to-FT diesel (d) chains incl. CO_2 taxes for 2020 (based on Ajanovic et al. 2012 & CLARA project) compared to corresponding Diesel prices (EUR/kWh) for the EU







Nadine Gürer, MSc.

TU Wien Institute of Energy Systems and Electrical Drives - Energy Economics Group (EEG)

https://eeg.tuwien.ac.at

guerer@eeg.tuwien.ac.at