

# Outcomes of a Student Research Project on Circular Building Systems Focus on the Educational Aspect

### dr. arch. Bart Janssens

prof. dr. ir. Elke Knapen, arch. Peggy Winkels, prof. dr. ir. arch. Griet Verbeeck

Faculty of Architecture and Arts, Hasselt University, Belgium

bart.janssens@uhasselt.be

### Introduction



Context

#### THE SITUATION

Under a business as usual scenario, the global temperature by 2100 will be more than 4°C above pre-industrial levels

#### THE END GOAL

To limit temperature rise to 1.5°C, we need to cut greenhouse gas emissions from 65 to 39 billion tonnes CO,e per annum by 2030

#### THE SOLUTION

Current national commitments achieve about half of the required emissions cuts. Circular economy may fill about half of the remaining gap





#### SUSTAINABILITYGUIDE.EU



### Not an objective but a means as part of managing a sustainable development

Circularity in the building sector is high on public & corporate agendas

### Introduction

#### Problem statement

Producers of building materials and construction systems are rapidly taking the first steps and develop or redevelop products in view of the requirements for circular building.

- The risk is high that in the rush to quickly develop products, claims of circularity are made too easily.
- As circular building will become key in the professional field, it is necessary that architecture students are being prepared.





Flemish policy action: Green deal – Circular building

### Introduction

## **VHASSELT**

### Objective

- > study the potential for circular building of specific building systems
- ➢ by master students in architecture of the Faculty of Architecture and Arts of Hasselt University.

#### Aims

- ✓ Educational: trigger and train students
  - to become architect-designers with a strong focus on circularity
  - to conduct research in a collaborative and critical way
- $\checkmark$  Research methodological
  - exploring possibilities for actively involving architecture students in research on building-technical aspects (nexus education research)
- $\checkmark$  Innovation & development
  - **building-technical niche development** with a societal relevance, in this case building systems that enable circular building and enhance a circular economy model

### Aim paper/presentation

- ✓ present and discuss the concept of the student research
- ✓ present tentative and illustrative outcomes of selected circular building systems

## Concept of the research



#### Description of the assignment

Positioning within the curriculum / Fac-Ark



## Concept of the research



Description of the assignment



The assignment as such

"in-situ testing and improving circular building systems for cavity walls"

- by the act of building
- backed by an assessment with an existing framework of evaluation criteria for design for change

The assignment evolves from hands-on explorations, over analysis and assessment by desk-top research, to the formulation of improvements by design & build research.



### Selected systems, composed cavity wall ensembles and set-up building experiment





System 1: Construclick http://www.facadeclick.be

System 2: Facadeclick http://www.facadeclick.be



System 3: Systimber https://www.systimber.com



System 4: Clickbrick http://www.daasbaksteen.com



System 5: Steko https://www.steko.ch



System 6: Facatile https://www.wienerberger.be





#### **Educational aspects**

Students' perspective (Based on a self and peer assessment, including a personal reflection on the assignment)

- ✓ Added value for the curriculum:
  - The in-depth focus on materials and construction methods, and having the time and space to experiment with details of/for new ways of building with a strong societal relevance.
  - The set-up of the seminar, with its structure and balance between theory and practice, enabled to gain good insights in circular building.
  - Development of critical thinking skills, inter alia by including an assessment tool.

This assessment tool appeared to be both a blessing and a curse, as it was found to be time consuming.



### Educational aspects

Tutors' perspective

- ✓ Labour intensive preparation (collaborations , hands-on activities, ...)
- ✓ Hands-on approach was evaluated positive

### ✓ Needs improvement:

- Aspect of working in a group
- Aspect of assessing in an objective way
- Aspect of encouraging/stimulating/feeding innovation by students

#### Research methodological aspects in view of the nexus education - research

- $\checkmark\,$  The nexus 'research & education' worked well
  - Topic with a strong societal relevance
  - Tangible research method (hands-on)
  - Exposed outcomes (visibility)
  - Use of an assessment tool so outcomes can be validated (quantitative method is preferred)



### **Results and discussion**

### Niche development aspects

### Systems 1 & 2 – composition A

- a) a mounting lath for a circular connection with the foundation
- b) a connecting block to fix the watertight slab between foundation and inner wall
- c) an alternative cavity anchor; with c1 the existing cavity anchor, and c2 an alternative cavity anchor



### Systems 3 & 4 – composition B

- a) wider grip surfaces of the spacer (with a1 the existing grip)
- b) (b1 + b2) two anchoring solutions for cavity anchors
- c) metal plate for a circular connection with the foundation
- d) a screw head of the cavity anchors





### Conclusion

Based on reflections of both students and tutors, it can be concluded that the concept of the nexus education - research was successful and only needs minor revisions.

UHASSELT

- > Perspectives for future editions of similar student research:
  - ✓ complementing the assignment with a real-life full design/build project
  - ✓ including a **team building activity** in order to improve the aspect of working in a group
  - ✓ using tailored pedagogical methods to encourage/stimulate/feed innovation during the development of improvements by the students
  - ✓ from a research point of view, selecting a quantitative assessment method which avoids subjective assessments, and which is easy and quick to use by students.

### Conclusion



- Concerning the circular building systems/
  - ✓ all systems as such work, are easy to use and fast to assemble and disassemble
  - $\checkmark$  small-scale incremental improvements on the individual system level are required.
  - ✓ fundamental improvements and research regarding following aspects (non-exhaustive) are believed to be crucial for a full and successful application of the idea of circular building:
    - circular connections between circular building systems and other building components (e.g. foundation, windows, roofs)
    - compatibility (e.g. dimensions, connections) with other circular building systems,
    - watertight and vapor tight sealing of both surfaces and joints
    - diversity of products within circular building systems (e.g. tailored lintels, corner solutions)
    - broad building type (in view of needed performances) and project type application range (e.g. renovation)
    - prefabrication potential
    - lowering the environmental impact (e.g. especially of connecting components) of existing circular building systems, and more broadly development of new circular building systems based on renewable and regenerative resources



## Thank you.



### dr. arch. Bart Janssens

prof. dr. ir. Elke Knapen, arch. Peggy Winkels, prof. dr. ir. arch. Griet Verbeeck

Faculty of Architecture and Arts, Hasselt University, Belgium

bart.janssens@uhasselt.be