

Eco-efficiency assessment of conventional OPC/PPC replacement by LC³ in Cuban residential buildings

Sustainable Built Environment Conference TU-GRAZ (SBE19)

Yudiesky Cancio, Sofía Sánchez, Raúl Sánchez, Fernando Martirena (UCLV), Guillaume Habert (ETH-Zurich)







September 12th, 2019





- » Introduction
- » Objectives, methods and Data
- » Results in a nutshell
- » To-take key messages





» Introduction

- » Objectives, methods and Data
- » Results in a nutshell
- » To-take key messages





Contextualizing research: where do we stand?



(Brundtland Report, 1987)





Traditional cements in Cuba vs. LC³: composition







From current situation to LC³ technology





» Introduction

» Objective, methods and Data

- » Results in a nutshell
- » To-take key messages





Purpose and methods



Construction supply chain mapping (overview)

- Damineli, Bruno. ; Kemeid, Fernanda.; Aguiar, Patricia; John, Vanderley (2010): Measuring the eco-efficiency of cement use. *Cement & concrete composites.*
- World Business Council for Sustainable Development (2000): Measuring eco-efficiency: a guide to reporting company performance.
- Schaltegger, Stefan (1998): Accounting for eco-efficiency. In *Environmental Management in Practice. Vol. I.* London.





Case studies in Villa Clara province









Grand panel system





Forsa technology







From the environmental life cycle to the economic flows



This research covers the *material phase*. Ongoing research covers the *use phase* and future work will explore *end-of-life* scenario.





System boundaries in LCA of buildings







Data inventory: foreground data



Functional unit: m² of usable floor area





- » Introduction
- » Objective, methods and Data
- » Results in a nutshell
- » To-take key messages





Environmental impact of cements by type of construction technology



GWP100-IPCC (kgCO₂/m² usable floor area)





Energy consumption efficiency



Energy (MJ/m²)

Conventional cements' replacement by LC³ leads to reduce energy carriers around 33-37,5 %





Eco-efficiency performance of buildings: the economic impact of a cleaner technology



Eco-efficiency indicator as the ratio of CO₂ released vs construction costs (CO₂ per USD)





Exploring beyond eco-efficiency: sustainability entails trade-offs







- » Introduction
- » Objective, methods and Data
- » Results in a nutshell
- » To-take key messages





Concluding remarks

- » A low carbon cement strategy in Cuba was found to be economically beneficial and environmentally cleaner than BAU.
- » Grand panel appears to be the cost-effective benchmark amongst available construction techniques in Cuba. It is not superior *per se*, though.
- » Sustainable decision-making in the construction supply chain lies beyond ecoefficiency indicators. It requires a fundamental understanding of multicriteria system thinking to be aligned with case-specific policy orientation.





Thank you, for your attention

LC³ Project Central University of Las Villas, Cuba School of Economic Sciences Dr. Yudiesky Cancio Díaz Professor +53 53764678 yudieskycd@uclv.edu.cu

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation for funding LC³ project, the Cuban cement industry and the construction companies in Villa Clara for their support.