Affordance-based Design Method: A Case Study of University Campus

Sustainable Built Environment D-A-CH Conference 2019, Graz

September 2019



Presenter: Durva Gupta

PhD Research Scholar

J Uma Maheswari Associate Professor

Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi, India







1. Introduction

- 2. Literature Review
- 3. Objective and Methodology
- 4. Case Study Illustration
- 5. Proposed Model
- 6. Research Findings





Project thinking

Implementation phase

Life cycle thinking

Sustainable frameworks Integrated **Frameworks** Incorporating **Multiple** management, measures **Single phase** organization, during Life of Project and external Time, Cost, **Cycle of Project** environment Quality 2000 1960 **1980** 1990







MacLeamy, P., 2004. MacLeamy curve. Collaboration, Integrated Information, and the Project Lifecycle in Building Design and Construction and Operation (WP-1202)





Focus of Integrated Frameworks



Need for All-inclusive Approach to Design



Research Background







Evolution of Research in Design



Design Philosophies	Design as Craft	Design as Process Design as 'Artificial Science'	Design Science	Inter and Transdisciplinary
Methodologies and Theories	General Axiomat Functior Affordar C-K Theory	Design Theory tic Design n-Behaviour-Structure nce-based Design Coupled Design Infused Design DRM Methodology		
Approaches, Methods, Tools		MCDM Checklists Matrix Methods Design for Xs		>
	1960s	1990s Timeline →	2000s	Present

Source: Brambila-Macias, S., 2018. Early stages of designing resource-efficient offerings: An initial view of their analysis and evaluation Linköping University Electronic Press.





- *Affordance* of the environment means what it 'offers', 'provides' or 'furnishes', either for good or ill. *Affordance* means 'what one system provides to another subsystem.' Thus requires two interacting subsystems, For example: a road and a car, a keyboard and a person, a building and a occupant.
- Affordance based theory is **a relational theory** and it was first given by Gibson (1977) in perceptual psychology.
- Maier and Fadel (2006) have extended the affordance theory in **engineering design.**
- Authors used affordances to define user needs and allows designers' knowledge to interact with affordances .

Author	Application of ABD theory in Architectural Design Practice	
Tweed 2001	Identifying affordances within computer-aided architectural design (CAAD) software	
Koutamanis 2006	Affordance to individual building elements such as door handles, architectural spaces	
Kim et al. 2008	Affordances of a building lobby and how they vary between different users	





Why ABD in Architecture Design?



- 1. In architecture, affordances can be used as a conceptual framework **to understand the relationship between built environments and humans over time,** especially with respect to the form, function, and meaning of architectural elements.
- 2. In architectural design, concept of affordance allows a common theoretical basis to improve the design process by offering a **shared language among those involved in a design project, particularly architects and engineers.**
- 3. In architectural practice, affordances can be used as an **evaluation tool to explore the connection between the initial intentions or objectives of the design with how the artifact is actually used**, leading to archived knowledge for use in future projects, and the potential for avoiding an array of common design failures.





Objective

To extend the potential of affordance-based theory to devise a structured approach for design of built environment.

Methodology

- Various systems of built environment can be treated as artefacts and designed by following a set of procedures to fulfil the needs of user. (Maier and Fadel 2006)
- The proposed Affordance-based Design Method (DM) aims to incorporate user needs, stakeholder coordination and environmental consideration.
- The proposed DM is demonstrated through a case study on urban design of a university campus.
- The observations from the case study are discussed in the light of existing literature to emphasize the potential of proposed DM.



Case Study: University Campus



- Strategize construction for 2035
- Envisaging a residential academic campus for 12000 students along with the requisite faculty, non-teaching staff and their families.
- Building services, utilities and mobility networks to support the target growth of the institute
- Optimal management of available land
- Efficient use of energy and natural resources in an ecologically sustainable manner
- Maintaining the character and ambience of the existing environment



Development of strategy plan 2035 for infrastructure of university campus



Data Collection



Participatory Research Method

- Participation in series of Design meetings among stakeholders
- Focused interviews of stakeholders involved





Case Illustration



1. Need for the project	2. Identify Stakeholders	3. Identify Users	4. Project 5. Identify Requirements User Needs
Envisaging a	Designers	Users	Pedestrian
residential academic	Architects	Students	Modelling
campus for	Urban planners	Academic staff	Waste Transportation
12000 students along with the requisite faculty.	Landscape planners	Woman's association	plan planning
non-teaching	Energy analyst	Security staff	Project Requirements
staff and their families.	MEP designers	Green cell members	Energy Management Land Use and
		Non technical staff	plan Water Use plan
		Mess staff, servants quarter	Site Zoning



Conceptualization of Proposed DM





Affordance Interactions within Designer-System-User Structure

Steps in Proposed Affordance-based (DM)

I. User Information Module

- 1. Determine the system to be designed
- 2. Identify and interview the user groups
- 3. Express the need in terms of affordances
- 4. Classify the affordances as value adding and non-value adding.

II. Designer Information Module

- 5. Identify all the affordance from designers' perspective
- 6. Assign ranking to affordances based on user and designer information module

III. Individual Detailed Affordance structure

- 7. Identify detailed properties/specifications required of systems and subsystems
- 8. Identify constraints and targets associated with each property
- 9. Develop System Design with Desired Affordances



Step I. User Information Module



Value adding affordances	Non-value adding affordances		
(Positive)	(Negative)		
Provide thorough fare movement within campus to all users	Minimize traffic conflicts at some points		
Connectivity of campus with the city	Reduce travel time		
Facilitate pedestrian movement to all users	Reduce trip length		
Integration of all modes of transport system	No congestion at peak hours		
Incorporate comfortable, energy efficient and sustainable ways of transport	Reduce extent and distribution of roads in campus		
Provide safe and secure pathways	Reduce urban heat island effect		
Access to visitors/city people			
Maintain and maximize green cover			
Identify user needs Needs assessment Identify values to be provided			



Step II. Designer Information Module



5

Step III. Design Individual Affordances





Detailed Affordance Structure to Minimize Urban Heat Island Impact for Design of Mobility Network

19/21



Proposed Affordance based Design Method



Step 1: Create Affordance Structure	Step 2: Design Individual Affordances	
 (i) Determine System-User Affordances Determine system to be designed Determine user groups Interview users 	 (i) Define and Understand Individual Affordance to be Designed (from priority affordances) Value adding or non-value adding User groups 	
 Gather and express user needs in terms of affordances Identify value adding and non-value adding affordances User Information Module 	 → (ii) Identify System Properties that Affect the → Affordances • Identify detailed technical specifications of systems and sub-systems 	
(ii) Develop Generic Affordance Structure (Based on what a system should afford) • Identify if any important affordance is missed • Include all affordances	 (iii) Identify Target and Bounds for each Property List the constraints associated with each property Quantify the constraints and assign numerical values to each property 	
Designer Information Module (iii) Prioritize Affordances • Assign ranking to affordances (most to least important targets) based on user and designer information module • Design the dist of the designer information the dist of t	 (iv) Develop Detailed Affordance Structure Analyse effects of property settings on other affordances Determine dependencies, inter-dependencies within system and sub-system (involving all stakeholders) Explore trade-offs/Optimize property 	
• Develop a list of attordances in the order of their targets	System Design with Desired Affordances 20	



Research Findings



- Case study demonstrates the potential application of affordance theory at the stages of problem definition and conceptual design in built environment.
 - In proposed DM, user needs are represented in the form of affordances which allow the needs to interact with the designer knowledge and system properties. The study can be extended to subsequent stages of detailed design and technical design.
 - Proposed DM provides **flexibility to accommodate sustainable target values**. Multiple sustainable targets can be further analysed using simulation techniques and the DM.
 - DM traces the **interactions between systems and subsystems** by formulating multiple affordance structures among multiple stakeholders. Such extensive affordance structure will guide decision making and assist in trade-off analysis during design.
- **Proposed** affordance-based DM provides a **sequential and structured** mechanism to transform the information from users and designers into technical information. This mechanism drives the conceptual design process which is eventually reflected in the **designed built environment.**



Sustainable Built Environment D-A-CH Conference 2019, Graz



Durva Gupta, PhD Research Scholar Email: durvagupta492@gmail.com Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi, India