Linking the microbiome to plant metabotype and high-value phytochemicals

Martina Köberl^{1,2}, Richard A. White III.², Ruth Schmidt^{1,3}, Tarek F. El-Arabi^{4,5}, Rudolf Bauer⁶, Janet K. Jansson², Christer Jansson⁷, Gabriele Berg¹

¹Graz University of Technology, Institute of Environmental Biotechnology, Austria; ²Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Biological Sciences Division, Richland, WA, USA; ³Netherlands Institute of Ecology, Department of Microbial Ecology, Wageningen, Netherlands; ⁴Ain Shams University, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo, Egypt; ⁵Heliopolis University, Biotechnology Laboratory, Cairo, Egypt; ⁶University of Graz, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Pharmacognosy, Austria; ⁷Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

Introduction

Plants form close interactions with microorganisms that are essential for their performance and survival. Thus, plantmicrobe interactions are key for understanding and improving plant health and productivity and for sustainable agricultural management practices. It is well-known that plants use metabolites to direct organization and growth of their associated microbial communities. However, vice versa, the plantassociated microbiome influences the metabolic activity of the plant leading to different metabotypes. A significant number of plant metabolites are produced by associated microbes, or through interaction with their plant host (prominent e.g. paclitaxel). То analyze microbiomemetabolome interactions, we used the grass model Brachypodium distachyon as well as different species of medicinal plants with particularly high levels of complex constituents, including Matricaria chamomilla and Calendula officinalis. These two medicinal plants are cultivated all over the world, however with different chemical profiles. We observed a plant-specific selection of rhizospheric microbes associated with medicinal plants grown on an organically managed Egyptian desert farm. The soil microbiome comprised a high abundance of spore-forming Firmicutes (esp. Bacillus and Paenibacillus) Actinobacteria and were linked to (Streptomyces), which pathogen suppression under arid soil conditions. The desert agro-ecosystem exhibited a higher microbial diversity and better ecosystem function for plant health in comparison to the native desert soil. Promising antagonistic counterparts to soilborne phytopathogens were selected by a hierarchical screening for field evaluation. The priming of chamomile seedlings had a stabilizing effect on plant performance, and indigenous *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* strains were also able to elevate the plants' flavonoid production. These findings suggest that a targeted bacterial treatment can influence the metabolic activity of the plant. We aim to reveal the underlying mode of actions at the genomic and transcriptional levels and to develop an effective biocontrol strategy on the basis of these promising antagonists.

How are the native microbial communities influenced by 30 years of organic agriculture?



soil Relative types. composition of major clone determined by pyrosequencing of 16S rRNA from metagenomic DNA extracted from desert and agricultural soil. Phylogenetic groups accounting for $\leq 1\%$ of all quality sequences are summarized in the artificial group others.

Is the microbial community on a functional level as specific as on the structural level?



field conditions (loamy sand soil) and were investigated in four independent replicate samples by amplicon sequencing. Singletons, OTUs defined by only a single observation, were removed and not considered in both datasets

Medicinal plants harbor a promising indigenous potential for promotion of plant growth and quality





Figure 2. Principal component analysis OTUs identified by SSCP fingerprinting for bacterial and fungal communities. Samples were encoded using a combination of letters and numbers indicating (1) soil type or plant species (Wb = desert soil, Sb = Sekem soil. Mc = Matricaria chamomilla, Co = Calendula officinalis, Sd = Solanum *distichum*), (2) replicate (1–4) and (3) microenvironment (Re = endorhiza. rhizosphere and soil have no further designation).

Figure 4. Profile clustering network analysis of NifH sequence libraries of rhizosphere samples from M. chamomilla, C. officinalis and S. distichum at a dissimilarity level of 8%. The abundance values for OTUs with a mean read change between plants of more than 1% of the normalized data set were used. If the ratio of mean OTU read numbers exceeded 2, the OTUs were regarded as altered and assigned to the respective profile. Node sizes of OTUs correspond to the relative abundance of the total data set; nodes matching to abundances of 0.5% and 10% were added as reference points. Distributions between plants are displayed by widths of connection lines. Significances (p <0.05) are indicated by colored node borders: red node borders indicate significances between connected and all not linked profiles, green is used for significances between *Matricaria* and *Calendula*, orange for significances between *Calendula* and *Solanum*, and blue for significances between Matricaria and Solanum; nodes with black borders showing no significant differences. Black node labels indicate a similarity to the taxonomic node label (closest database match) of \geq 95%, whereas gray node labels have a similarity <95%.

Figure 5. Comparison of the microbial communities of Matricaria chamomilla rhizosphere by jackknifed principal coordinate analysis. The biplot illustrates the compositional similarity between samples based on weighted UniFrac. Taxa coordinates are indicated by grey orbs with size, as a function of relative abundance. To confine the biplot, the number of the displayed taxa was restricted to 5. The positions of the points are the averages for the jackknifed replicates generated by QIIME and are shown with ellipses representing the interquartile range (IQR) in each axis.



O-glucoside Matricaria in chamomilla samples. Averages of individual HPLC-MS measurements and confidences are shown.

Lysobacter Streptomyces Paenibacillus/Brevibacillus Bacillus endophyticus Bacillus cereus group Bacillus subtilis group

Figure 7. Diversity of bacterial antagonists with an activity towards fungi. pathogenic Isolates with activity against two pathogens were identified by partial rRNA 16S gene sequencing. Samples from rhizosphere and include endorhiza isolates from the medicinal plants M. chamomilla, C. officinalis and S. distichum.



80%

70%

60%

50%

40%

30%

20%



Conclusions



Köberl et al. (2011) PLoS One 6: e24452. Köberl et al. (2013) FEMS Microbiol Lett 342: 168-178 Köberl et al. (2013) Front Microbiol 4: 400. Schmidt et al. (2014) Front Microbiol 5: 64. Köberl et al. (2015) Genome Announc 3: e00860-15. Köberl et al. (2015) Genome Announc 3: e00861-15. Köberl et al. (2015) Genome Announc 3: e00862-15.

