# Robot Vision: Stereo Matching

## Prof. Friedrich Fraundorfer

SS 2023

## Outline

- Geometric relations for stereo matching
- Dense matching process
- Census Transform
- Dynamic programming
- Semiglobal matching
- Stereo matching with CNN's
- Monocular depth estimation

## **Dense matching**

- SfM only gives sparse 3D data
- Only feature points (e.g. SURF) are triangulated for most pixel no 3D data is computed
- Dense image matching computes a 3D point for every pixel in the image (1MP image leads to 1 million 3D points)
- Dense matching algorithms need camera poses as prerequisite

## Geometric relation

- Stereo normal case
- Depth Z [m] can be computed from disparity d [pixel]



## Rectification

- Image transformation to simplify the correspondence search
  - Makes all epipolar lines parallel
  - Image x-axis parallel to epipolar line
  - Corresponds to parallel camera configuration



## Dense matching process



- Estimate disparity (depth) for all pixels in the left image.
  - Evaluate similarity measure for every possible pixel location on the line (e.g. NCC, SAD)
- Disparity d: Offset between pixel p in the left image and its corresponding pixel q in the right image.

## Census Transform

- A popular block matching cost
- Good robustness to image changes (e.g. brightness)
- Matching cost is computed by comparing bit strings using the Hamming distance (efficient)
- Bit strings encode if a pixel within a window is greater or less than the central pixel (0 .. if center pixel is smaller, 1 .. if center pixel is larger or equal)

89	63	72	
67	55	64	00000011
58	51	49	

## Dense matching process

matching image epipolar line Fisherbrand Salety Matches Fisherbrand Safety Matches matching **†** 

reference image



depth

## **Disparity selection**

- Single scanline based
  - Winner takes all (WTA)
    Select the disparity with the lowest cost (i.e. the highest similarity)
  - Scanline optimization (Dynamic programming)
    Select the disparities of the whole scanline such that the total (added up) costs for a scanline is minimal
- Global methods (Cost volume optimization)
  - Belief propagation
    Selects the disparities such that the total cost for the whole image is minimal
  - Semi-global Matching Approximates the optimization of the whole disparity image

- Frequently called "dynamic programming" because of the programming scheme for efficient cost calculation. This naming is historic and does not reflect the method well. In fact it is an application of the Viterbi-Algorithm.
- Cost calculation based on a 2D grid







## Scanline optimization complexity

- Exhaustive search: O(h<sup>n</sup>)
  Example: scanline of length n=512 with h=100 disparities: 100<sup>512</sup>
- Dynamic programming: O(nh<sup>2</sup>)
  Example: scanline of length n=512 with h=100 disparities: 512\*100\*100= 5,12 million operations

## Scanline optimization streaking artifacts



- Global methods
  - Global cost optimization in energy-minimization framework

$$E(D) = E_{data}(D) + \lambda E_{smooth}(D)$$

Data term:

Agreement between cost function and input image pair

$$E_{data}(D) = \sum_{(p)} c(p,d)$$

 Smoothness term: Encoding the smoothness assumptions

$$E_{smooth}(D) = \sum_{(p)} \rho(d(u,v) - d(u+1,v))$$

matching image





cost column

## Cost volume

matching image





## Semiglobal matching

Cost Aggregation (Cost Optimization)



Goal: global minimization of



## Semiglobal matching

Path-wise approximation of aggregation

$$L_{r}(p,d) = C(p,d) + \min \begin{pmatrix} L_{r}(p-r,d), \\ P_{1} + L_{r}(p-r,d-1), \\ P_{1} + L_{r}(p-r,d+1), \\ P_{2} + \min_{i} L_{r}(p-r,i) \end{pmatrix}$$

- p Image coordinates
- $P_1$  Cost for small height jump
- $P_2$  Cost for large height jump
- r Path direction
- $L_r$  Aggregated costs along r
- d Disparity

Summation of L along 8 or 16 directions r

$$S(p,d) = \sum_{r} L_r(p,d)$$



## Semiglobal matching



[Heiko Hirschmüller (2008), Stereo Processing by Semi-Global Matching and Mutual Information, in IEEE PAMI, Volume 30(2), February 2008, pp. 328-341.]

- Traditionally disparity estimation works along 3 steps
- CNN's can be used to replace these parts which replaces handcrafted models and thresholds with data-driven algorithms



implementation used

Using deep neural networks for similarity estimation



#### Middlebury Stereo Evaluation - Version 3

Mouseover the table cells to see the produced disparity map. Clicking a cell will blink the ground truth for comparison. To change the table type, click the links below. For more information, please see the <u>description of new features</u>.

Submit and evaluate your own results. See snapshots of previous results. See the evaluation v.2 (no longer active).

Set: test dense test sparse training dense training sparse

Metric: <u>bad 0.5</u> <u>bad 1.0</u> <u>bad 2.0</u> <u>bad 4.0</u> <u>avgerr</u> <u>rms</u> <u>A50</u> <u>A90</u> <u>A95</u> <u>A99</u> <u>time</u> <u>time/MP</u> <u>time/GD</u> Mask: <u>nonocc</u> all

✓ plot selected □ show invalid Reset sort Reference list

	bad 2.0 (%)		Weight															
Date	Name	Res	Avg	Austr	AustrP	Bicyc2	Class	ClassE	Compu	Crusa	CrusaP	Djemb	DjembL	Hoops	Livgrm	Nkuba	Plants	Stairs
			-	MP: 5.6	MP: 5.6	MP: 5.6	MP: 5.7	MP: 5.7	MP: 1.5	MP: 5.5	MP: 5.5	MP: 5.7	MP: 5.7	MP: 5.7	MP: 5.9	MP: 5.5	MP: 5.6	MP: 5.2
				nd: 290	nd: 290	nd: 250	nd: 610	nd: 610	nd: 256	nd: 800	nd: 800	nd: 320	nd: 320	nd: 410	nd: 320	nd: 570	nd: 320	nd: 450
				im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1	im0 im1
				GT	GT	GT	GT	GT	GT	GT	GT	GT	GT	GT	GT	GT	GT	GT
		_ ^		nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc	nonocc
りむ	<b>む</b>	小小	₽Û	- ÛÛ	- ÛÛ	小①	①①	①①	①①	①①	小小	- ÛÛ	①①	小①	小①	- ÛÛ	小①	小①
08/28/15	MC-CNN-acrt 5	ġн	8.08 1	5.59 <mark>20</mark>	4.55 25	5.96 17	2.83 10	11.4 25	5.81 14	8.32 23	8.89 27	2.71 15	16.3 23	14.1 18	13.2 18	13.0 5	6.40 16	11.1 15
07/28/14	SGM 🗧	ਤੇ ਮ	18.42	40.3 79	4.54 23	8.03 32	22.9 67	40.5 59	11.4 39	24.7 51	10.1 36	5.40 45	29.6 45	28.5 51	23.9 55	20.0 40	14.2 40	30.9 51

## Example results



## Example results



## Monocular depth estimation



### Monocular depth estimation - Training



## How well does it work?



### **Planarity errors**



Figure 4.25: Visual results after applying *planarity errors* (PEs) on different planar regions (top: table, bottom: wall). RGB with corresponding plane masks (**(**) (a). Predictions using different methodologies (b-e). Colors in the predictions correspond to orthogonal differences of projected depths towards the reference plane

Koch, Tobias; Liebel, Lukas; Fraundorfer, Friedrich; Körner, Marco: Evaluation of CNN-Based Single-Image Depth Estimation Methods. Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision Workshops (ECCV-WS), Springer International Publishing, 2019

## Limits of current method

- Network estimates depth for a picture on a flat wall
- NO absolute scale measurements as in real stereo setup!



Koch, Tobias; Liebel, Lukas; Fraundorfer, Friedrich; Körner, Marco: Evaluation of CNN-Based Single-Image Depth Estimation Methods. Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision Workshops (ECCV-WS), Springer International Publishing, 2019